arXiv:1410.5740v3 [math.AT] 21 Dec 2014

Equivariant formality of isotropic torus actions, I

Jeffrey D. Carlson

21 December 2014

Abstract

It is an open question for which pairs (G, K) of compact Lie groups G and closed, connected subgroups K the left action of K on the homogeneous space G/K is equivariantly formal.

We reduce this question to the case K is a torus and G is simply-connected by successively replacing members of the pair (G, K) with simpler groups. The results, combined, essentially reduce equivariant formality of isotropy actions to the study of embeddings of tori in simply-connected groups.

To illustrate the feasibility of this approach, we classify all pairs (G, S^1) such that G is compact connected Lie and the embedded S^1 subgroup of G acts equivariantly formally on G/S^1. The computation depends only on the dimension of the cohomology ring $H^*(G/S^1)$ and the number of components of the normalizer $N_G(S^1)$.

1. Introduction

In 1997, Goresky, Kottwitz, and MacPherson [GKM98] defined equivariant formality of an action of a group G on a space X as the property that the fiber inclusion $X \hookrightarrow X_G$ of the Borel fibration induces a surjection $H^*_G(X) \twoheadrightarrow H^*(X)$ of (Borel) equivariant cohomology upon singular cohomology. This notion had already been alighted upon by Borel in Chapter XII of his Seminar [BBF+60], and makes available a relatively simple computation of the cohomology $H^*(X)$ in terms of K-orbits of dimensions zero and one in the case there are only finitely many of each, as well as, by definition, guaranteeing all classes of $H^*(X)$ have equivariant extensions in $H^*_G(X)$, to which, for example, the localization theorems of Berline–Vergne/Atiyah–Bott [BV82][AB84] and Jeffrey–Kirwan [JK95] can be applied.

Any homogeneous space G/K admits an isotropy action of K given by $k \cdot gK = (kg)K$. For any continuous action of a Lie group G on a space X, the orbit Gx of a point will be a homogeneous space of the form G/K for $K = \mathrm{Stab}(x)$ a closed subgroup, so any continuous Lie group action decomposes as a union of left actions of G on homogeneous spaces G/K. These actions are not equivariantly formal unless $\operatorname{rk} K = \operatorname{rk} G$, but some restriction of them to an action of a subgroup H of G is. If such a restricted action is to be equivariantly formal, then H cannot contain a strictly larger maximal torus than K does, so that the left action of K is, in a sense, essentially the "largest" action on G/K which could conceivably be equivariantly formal. Assuming that G is compact, it is known that the isotropy action of K on G/K is equivariantly formal if K is of full rank in G [Bri98, Proposition 1] or if (G, K) is a generalized symmetric pair with K connected [GN14, Theorem 5.6]; the full-rank case has found wide application in symplectic topology (see, for example, the book of Ginzburg, Guillemin, and Karshon [GGK02], where equivariant cohomology is already mentioned in the first page of the introduction and occupies a thirty-one-page appendix). But otherwise few examples seem to be known of equivariantly formal isotropy actions.

In fact, this question can be reduced to the case K is a torus. For concision, if the isotropy action of K on G/K is equivariantly formal, we call the pair (G, K) ***isotropy-formal***.

Theorem 1.1. *If G is a compact Lie group, K a closed, connected subgroup, and S any torus maximal within K, then (G,K) is isotropy-formal if and only if (G,S) is.*

The proof is in Section 3. This result reduces the question to a study of embeddings of tori in Lie groups, an already more feasible-looking endeavor. Further, the question reduces to the case the commutator subgroup K is simply-connected.

Theorem 1.2. *Let G be a compact, connected Lie group and S a toral subgroup. Let $\widetilde{G}$ be a finite central covering of G and $\widetilde{S}$ the identity component of the preimage of S. Then (G,S) is isotropy-formal if and only if $(\widetilde{G},\widetilde{S})$ is.*

The proof is in Section 5. Moreover, the question nearly reduces to the case G itself is simply-connected.

Theorem 1.3. *Let G be a compact, connected Lie group, K its commutator subgroup, S a toral subgroup of G, and $S' = S \cap K$ its intersection with K. Then (G,S) is isotropy-formal if and only if both*

1. *the pair (K,S') is isotropy-formal and*
2. *any element of K normalizing S' in fact normalizes all of S.*

The proof is in Section 6. These reductions having been achieved, we are able to completely determine, for G any compact, connected Lie group and $S \cong S^1$ any circular subgroup, whether (G,S) is isotropy-formal.

Algorithm 1.4. Let G be a compact, connected Lie group and S a circular subgroup of G. The following steps determine whether (G,S) is isotropy-formal.

1. Check whether S is contained in the commutator subgroup K of G, a semisimple group. If not, then (G,S) is isotropy-formal.[1]
2. If S is contained in K, continue. We may assume by Theorem 1.2 that K is a product of simple Lie groups K_j. Find the images S_j of S under the compositions $S \hookrightarrow K \twoheadrightarrow K_j$.
3. For each K_j, determine from Table 1.5 whether there exists an element of the Weyl group $W(K_j)$ that stabilizes and acts as $s \longmapsto s^{-1}$ on $\mathfrak{s}_j$. When there does exist such an element, we say S_j is ***reflected***.
4. If each S_j is reflected in K_j, then (G,S) is isotropy-formal
5. If some S_j is not reflected in K_j, then (G,S) is not isotropy-formal.

Algorithm 1.4 is the contatenation of Theorem 7.2, Proposition 7.4, Proposition 8.4, and Proposition 8.6. The construction of Table 1.5 is taken up in Section 8.3.

Here is a sample consequence of the algorithm.

Example 6. If S is a circle in the unitary group $\mathrm{U}(n)$, then $\big(\mathrm{U}(n),S\big)$ is or is not isotropy-formal as indicated in Table 1.7.

[1] To demand S lie in K is the same as asking that $\mathrm{im}\big(H^1(G;\mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow H^1(S;\mathbb{Q})\big) = 0$ or $\ker(\pi_1 S \longrightarrow \pi_1 G) \neq 0$ (Proposition 7.4 and Remark 7.5).

[2] This J is the multiset of exponents $a_1,\dots,a_n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that the injection $S^1 \rightarrowtail (S^1)^n$ realizing S as a circular subgroup of a maximal torus of $\mathrm{U}(n)$ (the diagonal subgroup, say) is given by $z \longmapsto (z^{a_1},\dots,z^{a_n})$. We write $-J$ for the multiset $\{-a_j\}_{1\leq j\leq n}$ whose entries are the opposites of those of J; that is to say, for each $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, the element $-a$ occurs in $-J$ with the same multiplicity that a occurs in J. See Proposition 8.9.

[3] This means the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{s}$ of S is conjugate into the subspace $\mathfrak{so}(2)^{\oplus 2n} \oplus \{1\}^{\oplus 2}$ of the standard (block diagonal) infinitesimal maximal torus $\mathfrak{so}(2)^{\oplus 2n+1}$. See Proposition 8.11.

[4] The manifestation of this condition within a given maximal torus T^6 of E_6 is somewhat complicated and is the subject of Section 8.3.3. One succinct statement is Proposition 8.24.

Table 1.5: Reflected lines in simple Lie algebras

Type of K	The circle S in K is reflected ...
A_n	when the exponent multiset J satisfies $J = -J$.[2]
B_n	always.
C_n	always.
D_{2n}	always.
D_{2n+1}	if S is contained in a D_{2n} subgroup.[3]
G_2	always.
F_4	always.
E_6	if S is contained in a D_4 subgroup.[4]
E_7	always.
E_8	always.

Table 1.7: The classification for circles in $\mathrm{U}(n)$

Embedding of S	Is $\big(\mathrm{U}(n), S\big)$ isotropy-formal?
$S \not\leq \mathrm{SU}(n)$	Yes
$S \leq \mathrm{SU}(n)$ and $J \neq -J$	Yes
$S \leq \mathrm{SU}(n)$ and $J = -J$	No

Acknowledgments. The author thanks Jason DeVito for helpful conversations and essential insight about maps of spectral sequences and the algebraic content of the Borel fibration; Fulton Gonzalez and George McNinch for fielding numerous questions of varying degrees of lucidity; George McNinch and especially Chi-Kwong Fok for the representation-theoretic interpretation of reflectibility; Marek Mitros and Christopher O'Donnell for extensive help with properties of reflection groups, GAP, and Python; Oliver Goertsches for discovering and rescuing the author from an embarrassing error in the initial proof of Theorem 1.1; Oliver Goertsches and Christopher Allday for valuable editorial suggestions; Yael Karshon for making the author aware of the errata to her book; and Mathew Wolak for mentioning, at a critical moment, that the Killing form is invariant and definite. The author would finally and especially like to thank his advisor, Loring W. Tu, for patiently listening to and critiquing his ideas over a period of many months. It is largely the result of his critical interventions that the arguments of this paper ever achieved coherence.

2. Definitions and earlier work

2.1. Definitions and notation

Given any topological group K, there exists a *universal principal K-bundle* $K \to EK \to BK$ such that EK is a contractible space with a free K-action. The base space BK is a *classifying space* for principal K-bundles, so called because every other principal K-bundle arises as a pullback. These spaces EK and $BK = EK/K$ are unique up to weak homotopy equivalence. If $S \leq K$ is a subgroup, EK can serve as ES, and EK/S as BS.

Given a topological group S and a topological space X equipped with a left S-action, there is a diagonal action of S on $ES \times X$ given by $s \cdot (e, x) = (es^{-1}, sx)$. The orbit space of this action is

the ***homotopy quotient*** (or *Borel construction*) [BBF+60, Definition IV.3.1, p. 52] of the action of S on X; it is denoted by

$$ {}_SX := \frac{ES \times X}{S} = \frac{ES \times X}{(es, x) \sim (e, sx)}. $$ [5]

We write ${}_S[e, x] \in {}_SX$ for the orbit of $(e, x) \in ES \times X$. The homotopy quotient is a generalization of the regular quotient in the sense that if S acts freely on X, then the natural map ${}_SX \longrightarrow\!\!\!\!\!\rightarrow S\backslash X$ is a homotopy equivalence, its fiber EK being contractible.

We define [BBF+60, IV.3.3, p. 53] the *(Borel)* ***equivariant cohomology*** $H^*_K(X)$ of the action of K on X to be the rational singular cohomology $H^*({}_KX; \mathbb{Q})$ of the homotopy quotient ${}_KX$.[6] We will write H^*_K for the coefficient ring $H^*(BK) = H^*_K(\mathrm{pt})$ of equivariant cohomology; the unique map $X \longrightarrow \mathrm{pt}$ induces a map $H^*_K \longrightarrow H^*_K(X)$ making K-equivariant cohomology an H^*_K-algebra.

Throughout, all cohomology will be singular cohomology with rational coefficients, and all spectral sequences will be rational cohomological Serre spectral sequences of bundles. We will say a continuous map $f\colon X \longrightarrow Y$ ***surjects in cohomology*** or is ***H^*-surjective*** if it induces a surjection $H^*(Y) \longrightarrow\!\!\!\!\!\rightarrow H^*(X)$ in rational cohomology. In the opposite extreme case, that the map $H^*(Y) \longrightarrow H^*(X)$ is zero in dimensions ≥ 1 and is the isomorphism $H^0(Y) \longrightarrow H^0(X) \cong \mathbb{Q}$ in dimension 0, we call this map ***trivial***, and say the map $X \longrightarrow Y$ is ***trivial in cohomology***.

Associated to the homotopy quotient is a fiber bundle $X \to {}_SX \to BS$, called the ***Borel fibration***.[7] As noted in the introduction, an action of a topological group S on a space X is said to be ***equivariantly formal*** if the fiber inclusion $X \hookrightarrow {}_SX$ in the Borel fibration surjects in cohomology.[8] This condition is equivalent to the spectral sequence of this bundle collapsing at the E_2 page [GGK02, Lemma C.24, p. 208] (see also the proof of Lemma 4.2).

Recall that given a Lie group G and closed subgroup S, the space G/S of left cosets is a homogeneous space admitting a natural left S-action $s \cdot gS = (sg)S$, the ***isotropy action***. When the isotropy action of S on G/S is equivariantly formal we call the pair (G, S) ***isotropy-formal***.

A (*real*) ***torus*** is a Lie group smoothly isomorphic to the direct product of finitely many copies of the complex circle group $S^1 \cong \mathrm{U}(1)$; for us tori are always considered as Lie groups. A one-dimensional torus is a ***circle***. Given a Lie group G, and a toral subgroup S of G, we consistently write $N = N_G(S)$ for the normalizer of S in G and $Z = Z_G(S)$ for the centralizer of S in G. We say S is ***reflected in*** G if there is an element $w \in N_G(S)$ such that the inner automorphism $g \longmapsto wgw^{-1}$ of G restricts on S to the involution $s \longmapsto s^{-1}$. We then say as well that w ***reflects*** S. Descending to the level of Lie subalgebras of $\mathfrak{g}$, w ***reflects*** $\mathfrak{s}$ if $\mathrm{Ad}(w)v = -v$ for all $v \in \mathfrak{s}$.

We will have to deal with fixed point sets of isotropy actions starting in Section 4. If a group G acts on a topological space X, we denote the fixed point set of the action by X^G. The ***0th homotopy group*** $\pi_0 X$ of a space X is its set of path-components; it inherits a group structure if X is a group. The ***total Betti number*** $h^\bullet(X)$ of a space X is the dimension of the cohomology ring $H^*(X)$ as a rational vector space: in symbols, $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}} H^*(X) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} H^n(X)$. Alternately, it is the evaluation of the ***Poincaré polynomial*** $p(X) := \sum_{n \geq 0} \left[\dim_{\mathbb{Q}} H^n(X)\right] t^n$ of X at $t = 1$.

We denote subgroup containment by "$\leq$", isomorphism "$\cong$", homotopy equivalence "$\simeq$", homeomorphism "$\approx$".

[5] Note that under the standard notational convention, this space is written X_K. It will become clear in Section 3 why we break with this convention.

[6] Despite the presence of the atypical "${}_KX$," this definition agrees with the standard one.

[7] Explicitly, the map ${}_SX \longrightarrow BS$ takes the diagonal S-orbit ${}_S[e, x]$ of $(e, s) \in ES \times X$ to the S-orbit $Se \in BS$.

[8] Dating back to a notation "$\not\sim 0$" that Hans Samelson used [Sam41], the inclusion of X in ${}_SX$ in this instance has been said to be *(totally) nonhomologous to zero* and [GHV76] *(totally) noncohomologous to zero*, abbreviated variously *TNHZ*, *TNCZ*, and *n.c.z.* In this work we maintain a respectful distance from such terminology.

2.2. Earlier work on equivariant formality

Apart from the classical result that a generalized flag manifold has equivariantly formal isotropy action and the recent result of Goertsches [Goe12] on isotropy actions of symmetric spaces and its extension by Goertsches and Noshari [GN14] to generalized symmetric spaces, few specific examples seem to be known of equivariantly formal isotropy actions on homogeneous spaces.

However, in 1996, Hiroo Shiga took up this question [Shi96], and working in the Cartan model, discovered the following sufficient conditions.

Theorem 2.1 (Shiga). *Let G be a compact group, K a closed, connected subgroup, and $N = N_G(K)$ the normalizer. If (G, K) is a Cartan pair and the map $H^*(G/K)^N \hookrightarrow H^*(G/K) \to H^*(G)$ induced by $G \twoheadrightarrow G/K$ is injective, then K acts equivariantly formally on G/K.*

Here, the notion of Cartan pair [Car, (3) on p. 70] can be described as follows. Consider the spectral sequence of the Borel fibration $G \to {}_KG \to BK$. Because ${}_KG$ is homotopy equivalent to G/K, the E_∞ page is a graded algebra associated to $H^*(G/K)$. The E_2 page is simply the tensor product $E_2^{\bullet,0} \otimes E_2^{0,\bullet} = H^*(BK) \otimes H^*(G)$ of its bottom row and leftmost column, and if this tensor decomposition persists to the final page $E_\infty \cong E_\infty^{\bullet,0} \otimes E_\infty^{0,\bullet}$, then in fact there is an isomorphism of algebras $H^*(G/K) \cong E_\infty$, so that $H^*(G/K)$ itself admits such a tensor decomposition, and (G, K) is called an ***Cartan pair***. (See Greub *et al.* [GHV76, p. 431] for a variation stated in terms of Lie algebra cohomology.)

The meaning of the condition that $H^*(G/K)^N \longrightarrow H^*(G)$ be injective is less immediately transparent, but fortunately there are more easily interpreted equivalent conditions. The map $H^*(G/K) \longrightarrow H(G)$ is represented in the spectral sequence by the projection $E_2 = H^*(BK) \otimes H^*(G) \twoheadrightarrow H^*(G)$ to the leftmost column, so that the image of $H^*(G/K) \longrightarrow H(G)$ is the inclusion of the leftmost column $E_\infty^{0,\bullet}$ of the final page in $E_2^{0,\bullet} = H^*(G)$. Since the kernel of this map is the ideal $E_\infty^{\geq 1,\bullet}$ made up of other columns of E_∞, the map $H^*(G/K)^N \longrightarrow H^*(G)$ will be injective if and only if there are no N-invariants in these columns. Because N acts trivially on $H^*(G) \cong E_2^{0,\bullet}$, it also acts trivially on the subring $E_\infty^{0,\bullet}$. If (G, K) is a Cartan pair, then $E_\infty^{0,\bullet}$ is a factor of E_∞, so the ideal of N-invariants in $E_\infty^{\geq 1,\bullet} = E_\infty^{\geq 1,0} \otimes E_\infty^{0,\bullet}$ will be zero if and only if the other factor of E_∞, the bottom row $E_\infty^{\bullet,0}$, has no N-invariants of degree greater than zero. This bottom row is the image of the characteristic map $\chi\colon H_K^* = E_2^{\bullet,0} \twoheadrightarrow E_\infty^{\bullet,0} \hookrightarrow H^*(G/K)$, the kernel of which is the homogeneous ideal $J = \big(\rho(\widetilde{H}_G^*)\big)$ generated by the image of the augmentation ideal $\widetilde{H}_G^*$ under the canonical map $\rho\colon H_G^* \longrightarrow H_K^*$ induced by $K \hookrightarrow G$. The image of ρ is contained in $H_K^N := (H_K^*)^N$, and χ is N-equivariant, so $(E_\infty^{\geq 1,0})^N = 0$ if and only if $J = \big(\widetilde{H}_K^N\big)$, which is to say that ρ surjects onto H_K^N. Thus we have the following equivalent statement.

Proposition 2.2 (Shiga). *Let G be a compact group, K a closed, connected subgroup, and $N = N_G(K)$ the normalizer. If (G, K) is a Cartan pair and the map $H_G^* \longrightarrow H_K^N$ is surjective, then K acts equivariantly formally on G/K.*

Looking at the forthcoming proofs of Proposition 8.8 through Proposition 8.12 in the light of Shiga's result, one can see $H_G^* \longrightarrow (H_S^*)^N$ is surjective if and only if either $H^*(G) \longrightarrow H^*(S)$ surjects—for then $H_G^* \longrightarrow H_S^*$ surjects [Car, 1°, p. 69][Bor53, Corollaire, p. 139]—or otherwise if S is reflected in G, so that $\pi_0(N) \cong \{\pm 1\}$ acts as $s \longmapsto \pm s$ on $\mathbb{Q}[s] \cong H^*(BS)$ and $H^*(BS)^N = \mathbb{Q}[s^2]$; and it turns out (Lemma A.1) that $H^*(BG) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}[s^2]$ is always surjective.

Thus one direction of our Theorem 7.2—that surjectivity of $H^*(G) \longrightarrow H^*(S)$ or S being reflected in G suffice to make (G, S) isotropy-formal—factors through Shiga's theorem. In fact the other direction also follows. In a later-written but earlier-published technical report [ST95], Shiga and Hideo Takahashi prove a partial converse.

Theorem 2.3 (Shiga–Takahashi). *Let G be a compact group, S a toral subgroup, and $N = N_G(S)$ the normalizer. Suppose that S contains regular elements of G and (G, S) is a Cartan pair. Then S acts equivariantly formally on G/S if and only if and the map $H^*_G \longrightarrow H^N_S$ is surjective.*

Let K be a closed, connected subgroup of G with maximal torus S. In Section 3, we will see the pair (G, K) is isotropy formal if and only if (G, S) is, and it is also known that (G, K) is Cartan if and only if (G, S) is [GN14, Prop. 2.5(i)]. Further, Lemma 8.1 and Corollary 3.7, as applied to K and S, show that $W(K)$ is a subgroup of the component group $\pi_0 N$ in a natural way and that $H^*_K \cong H^{W(K)}_S$, so that $H^N_K \cong (H^{W(K)}_S)^N = H^N_S$. Putting these results together, we see the Shiga–Takahashi theorem is still true if we replace S with an arbitrary closed, connected subgroup K of G, making it apply very generally. Further, the only reason Shiga and Takahashi seem to invoke regularity is to ensure the centralizer $Z = Z_G(S)$ is a maximal torus of G, so that Z/S is a torus and $h^\bullet(Z/S) = 2^{\operatorname{rk} G - \operatorname{rk} S}$. However, only this last dimensional condition is needed in the proof, and it is met *whether or not* S contains regular elements (Lemma 4.4), so the Shiga–Takahashi result actually goes through under weaker hypotheses.

Corollary 2.4 (Shiga–Takahashi). *Let G be a compact group, K a compact, connected subgroup, and $N = N_G(K)$ the normalizer. Suppose (G, K) is a Cartan pair. Then the map $H^*_G \longrightarrow (H^*_K)^N$ is surjective if and only if (G, K) is isotropy-formal.*

Embellished thus, the Shiga–Takahashi criterion is very powerful, and with proper interpretation our circle result can be seen as a consequence. However, we come at the result from a different direction, and the main body of our work is in determining explicitly *in which cases* the isotropy action of a circle is equivariantly formal. While Shiga did carry this program through for circular subgroups of $\mathrm{SU}(n)$ as an example of his theorem [Shi96, pp. 80–82]—reassuringly arriving at the same result we did—we now know the answer for all circles.

2.3. Relevant earlier work on cohomology of homogeneous spaces

In order to obtain Theorem 7.2, we needed some grasp on the cohomology rings $H^*(G/S)$ of homogeneous spaces G/S, for G compact connected and S a circle, and found that it has one of the following two forms:

- If $H^*(G) \longrightarrow H^*(S)$ is surjective, then there is $z_1 \in H^1(G)$ such that $H^*(G/S) \cong H^*(G)/(z_1)$.
- Otherwise, there are $z_3 \in H^3(G)$ and $s \in H^2(G/S)$ such that $H^*(G/S) \cong \dfrac{H^*(G)}{(z_3)} \otimes \dfrac{\mathbb{Q}[s]}{(s^2)}$.

These results turn out to have long been known. General statements on the cohomology of a homogeneous space were already available to Jean Leray in 1946, the year after his release from prison [Mil00, sec. 3, item (4)]. In the second of his four *Comptes Rendus* announcements from that year [Ler46, bottom of p. 1421], he states the following result.[9]

Theorem 2.5 (Leray, 1946). *Let K be a compact, simply-connected, Lie group and S a closed, one-parameter subgroup [viz. a circle]. Then there exist an $n \in \mathbb{N}$, a primitive element $z_{2n+1} \in H^{2n+1}(K)$, and a nonzero $s \in H^2(K/S)$ such that*

$$H^*(K/S) \cong \frac{H^*(K)}{(z_{2n+1})} \otimes \frac{\mathbb{Q}[s]}{(s^{n+1})}$$

[9] See also Borel [Bor98, par. 12]; only due to Borel's summary are we confident "compact Lie group" is the contextually-correct interpretation of Leray's *groupe bicompact*.)

The following year, Jean-Louis Koszul published a note [Kos47, p. 478, display] in the *Comptes Rendus* regarding Poincaré polynomials for these spaces.

Theorem 2.6 (Koszul, 1947)**.** *Let K be a semisimple Lie group and S a circular subgroup. Then the Poincaré polynomials (in the indeterminate t) of K/S and K are related by*

$$p(K/S) = p(K)\frac{1+t^2}{1+t^3}.$$

This result implies that in fact $n = 1$ in Leray's theorem, and in particular, evaluating at $t = 1$, implies the following proposition we rely on to prove Theorem 7.2.

Proposition 2.7. *Given a semisimple Lie group K and circular subgroup S, we have $h^\bullet(K/S) = h^\bullet(K)$.*

Remark 2.8. Since we are not aware of a published proof of Theorem 2.6, we include one in Appendix A and then indicate briefly in Remark A.2 how to extract Leray's result. In fact, Proposition 5.1 and Proposition 6.1 allow us to generalize this result to any circle S contained in the commutator subgroup of a compact, connected Lie group G.

3. Reduction to a torus action

We begin this section with a helpful lemma on cohomology of bundles, then expound some necessary material on right actions and Weyl invariants.

3.1. A natural lemma on bundles

Let F be a topological space and $\xi_0 \colon E_0 \to B_0$ an F-bundle. From the category of F-bundles and F-bundle maps, we can form a slice category $F\text{-Bun}/\xi_0$ of ***F-bundles over ξ_0*** as follows. An object of $F\text{-Bun}/\xi_0$ is an F-bundle ξ equipped with a bundle map $\xi \to \xi_0$; a morphism between objects $\xi' \to \xi_0$ and $\xi \to \xi_0$ is a bundle map $\xi' \to \xi$ making the expected triangle commute. Such a map entails the following commuting prism:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & f' & & \\
 & \overset{h}{\nearrow} & & \overset{f}{\searrow} & \\
E' & & E & & E_0 \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle \xi'} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \xi} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \xi_0} \\
B' & \underset{\bar{h}}{\searrow} & B & \underset{\bar{f}}{\searrow} & B_0. \\
 & & \overline{f'} & &
\end{array} \tag{3.1}$$

Note that the maps between total spaces make $(E \to B) \longmapsto H^*(E)$ a functor from $F\text{-Bun}/\xi_0$ to the category $H^*(E_0)\text{-CGA}$ of commutative graded $H^*(E_0)$-algebras and $(E \to B) \longmapsto H^*(B)$ a functor $F\text{-Bun}/\xi_0 \longrightarrow H^*(B_0)\text{-CGA}$, so that $(E \to B) \longmapsto H(B) \otimes_{H^*(B_0)} H^*(E_0)$ is another functor $F\text{-Bun}/\xi_0 \longrightarrow H^*(E_0)\text{-CGA}$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\xi_0 \colon E_0 \to B_0$ be an F-bundle such that the fiber inclusion $F \hookrightarrow E_0$ is H^*-surjective. Then the fiber inclusions of all F-bundles over ξ_0 are H^*-surjective, and there is a natural isomorphism*

$$H^*(E) \xleftarrow{\sim} H^*(B) \otimes_{H^*(B_0)} H^*(E_0)$$

of functors F-$\mathsf{Bun}/\xi_0 \longrightarrow H^*(E_0)$-$\mathsf{CGA}$. *Diagrammatically, the commutative diagram (3.1) gives rise to*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^*(E') & \xleftarrow{\;h^*\;} & H^*(E) \\ \sim\uparrow & & \sim\uparrow \\ H^*(B')\otimes_{H^*(B_0)} H^*(E_0) & \xleftarrow[\bar{h}^*\otimes \mathrm{id}]{} & H^*(B)\otimes_{H^*(B_0)} H^*(E_0). \end{array}$$

Verbally, if a fiber inclusion is surjective in cohomology, then cohomology takes pullbacks to pushouts. There is a proof by Larry Smith [Smi67, Cor. 4.4, p. 88] using the Eilenberg–Moore spectral sequence, but the following Serre spectral sequence proof requires less sophistication.

Proof. By the definition of a bundle map, the fiber inclusion $F \hookrightarrow E_0$ factors as $F \hookrightarrow E \to E_0$, so the assumed surjectivity of $H^*(E_0) \to H^*(E) \to H^*(F)$ implies surjectivity of the factor $H^*(E) \longrightarrow H^*(F)$.

Because of these surjections, the spectral sequences of these bundles stabilize at their E_2 pages. Applying H^* to the right square of the assemblage (3.1) yields

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^*(E) & \xleftarrow{f^*} & H^*(E_0) \\ {\scriptstyle\xi^*}\uparrow & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle\xi_0^*} \\ H^*(B) & \xleftarrow[\bar{f}^*]{} & H^*(B_0), \end{array} \qquad \text{which manifests on the } E_2 \text{ page as} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} H^*(B)\otimes H^*(F) & \xleftarrow{\bar{f}^*\otimes \mathrm{id}} & H^*(B_0)\otimes H^*(F) \\ {\scriptstyle \mathrm{id}\otimes 1}\uparrow & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{id}\otimes 1} \\ H^*(B) & \xleftarrow[\bar{f}^*]{} & H^*(B_0). \end{array}$$

The commutativity of the left square means there is an induced map of rings

$$\begin{array}{rcl} H^*(B)\otimes_{H^*(B_0)} H^*(E_0) & \longrightarrow & H^*(E), \\ b\otimes x & \longmapsto & \xi^*(b)f^*(x), \end{array}$$

whose E_2 manifestation is the canonical $H^*(B)$-module isomorphism

$$H^*(B)\otimes_{H^*(B_0)} \left[H^*(B_0)\otimes H^*(F)\right] \xrightarrow{\sim} H^*(B)\otimes H^*(F).$$

Since this E_2 map is a bijection, the ring map is an $H^*(E_0)$-algebra isomorphism.

For naturality, note that the ring map $h^*\colon H^*(E) \longrightarrow H^*(E')$ is completely determined its restrictions to its tensor-factors $H^*(B)$ and $H^*(E_0)$. The left square and top triangle of (3.1) imply the commutativity of the squares

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^*(E') & \xleftarrow{h^*} & H^*(E) \\ {\scriptstyle(\xi')^*}\uparrow & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle\xi^*} \\ H^*(B') & \xleftarrow[\bar{h}^*]{} & H^*(B), \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} H^*(E') & \xleftarrow{h^*} & H^*(E) \\ {\scriptstyle(f')^*}\uparrow & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle f^*} \\ H^*(E_0) & = & H^*(E_0), \end{array}$$

so that these factor maps are respectively $\bar{h}^*\colon H^*(B) \longrightarrow H^*(B')$ and $\mathrm{id}_{H^*(E_0)}$. □

3.2. Definitions for right actions and Weyl lemmas

We will need to simultaneously consider left and right actions. As with a left action, given a *right* action of a group K on a space X, there is a diagonal action of K on $X \times EK$ given by $(x,e)\cdot k = (xk, k^{-1}e)$,[10] and a homotopy quotient

$$X_K \coloneqq \frac{X \times EK}{(xk,e) \sim (x,ke)}.$$[11]

We denote the orbit of (x,e) under K by $[x,e]_K \in X_K$. If X admits both a left S-action and a right K-action, then the right homotopy quotient X_K admits a left S-action and the left homotopy quotient ${}_SX$ admits a right K-action, and we can form the ***homotopy biquotient***

$${}_SX_K \coloneqq {}_S(X_K) \approx ({}_SX)_K \approx \frac{ES \times X \times EK}{(e_1 s, x, ke_2) \sim (e_1, sxk, e_2)},$$

whose elements are denoted ${}_S[e_1,x,e_2]_K$.

As with left actions, there is a natural projection $X_K \twoheadrightarrow (\mathrm{pt})_K = K\backslash EK \approx BK$ with fiber X induced from the equivariant map $X \longrightarrow \mathrm{pt}$.[12] As before, the bundle $X \to X_K \to BK$ is called the ***Borel fibration*** of the action of K on X. Also as with a left action, given a right action of K on X, there is a map $X_K \longrightarrow\!\!\!\!\!\rightarrow X/K$, functorial in K and in X, which is a weak homotopy equivalence if the action is free.

Lemma 3.2. *Let K be a group, S a subgroup, and X and Y free K-spaces admitting a K-equivariant map $X \longrightarrow Y$. Then these diagrams commute:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_S & \longrightarrow & X_K \\ \downarrow\simeq & & \downarrow\simeq \\ X/S & \longrightarrow & X/K, \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} X_K & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & X/K \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Y_K & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & Y/K; \end{array}$$

so up to homotopy, $X_K \longrightarrow Y_K$ is equivalent to $X/K \longrightarrow Y/K$ and $X_S \longrightarrow X_K$ to $X/S \longrightarrow X/K$.[13]

Definition 3.3. In the rest of this section, we let K be a compact, connected Lie group, S a maximal torus, $N = N_K(S)$ the normalizer of S in K, and $W = N/S$ the Weyl group of K.

Write K-Top for the category of topological spaces with continuous K-actions and K-equivariant continuous maps, K-Free for the full subcategory of free K-actions, $\mathbb{Q}$-CGA for the category of (homomorphisms between) graded commutative $\mathbb{Q}$-algebras and thereof, and H^*_S-CGA for subcategory of graded commutative H^*_S-algebras. Note that the functor $X \mapsto X \times EK$, taking a right K-action to the diagonal action, is a functor K-Top $\to$ K-Free (up to homotopy, a projection).

[10] The EK given by the Milnor infinite join construction [Mil56] admits both natural left and right K-actions, so this is legitimate.

[11] $H^*_K(X) = H^*(X_K)$ is an equivalent definition of equivariant cohomology, for right actions, but in dealing with the forthcoming biquotient, we have to pick one side.

[12] The homeomorphism $K\backslash EK \xrightarrow{\approx} EK/K = BK$ can be understood thus. Let CK be the cone $([0,1]\times K)/(\{0\}\times K)$. Then the Milnor EK is the subspace of the countably infinite product $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} CK$ populated by lists of pairs (t_n,k_n) such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} t_n = 1$ and only finitely many $t_n \neq 0$. The group K acts diagonally on the left and on the right of EK by $k\cdot(t_n,k_n) = (t_n,kk_n)$ and $(t_n,k_n)\cdot k = (t_n,k_nk)$ respectively. The self-homeomorphism $e = (t_n,k_n) \longmapsto (t_n,k_n^{-1}) =: e^{-1}$ of EK takes $ke \longmapsto e^{-1}k^{-1}$ and so induces a homeomorphism $K\backslash EK \xrightarrow{\approx} EK/K$.

[13] The horizontal maps in the first square are the "further quotient" maps $[x,e]_S \longmapsto [x,e]_K$: $\frac{X\times EK}{S} \longrightarrow\!\!\!\!\!\rightarrow \frac{X\times EK}{K}$ and $xS \longmapsto xK$: $X/S \longrightarrow\!\!\!\!\!\rightarrow X/K$.

Observation 3.4. Suppose K acts on the right on a space X. Then W acts on the right on the orbit space X/S by $xS \cdot nS = xnS$, and so on the cohomology $H^*(X/S)$. Given a K-equivariant map $X \longrightarrow Y$, the induced map $X/S \longrightarrow Y/S$ is W-equivariant, so the map $H^*(X/S) \leftarrow H^*(Y/S)$ is as well.

Lemma 3.5. *Suppose a group W acts on spaces X and Y and there is a W-equivariant continuous map $X \longrightarrow Y$ inducing a surjection $H^*(X) \underset{\varphi}{\twoheadleftarrow} H^*(Y)$. Then the map $H^*(X)^W \leftarrow H^*(Y)^W$ is also surjective.*

Proof. The restriction to elements $b \in H^*(Y)^W$ has image in $H^*(X)^W$ by W-equivariance: if $w \cdot b = b$ for all $w \in W$, then $w \cdot \varphi(b) = \varphi(w \cdot b) = \varphi(b)$ is invariant as well.

To see the restriction is surjective, let $a \in H^*(X)^W$. Then it has a preimage $b \in H^*(Y)$, not a priori W-invariant. However, the W-average $\bar{b} = \frac{1}{|W|} \sum_{w \in W} w \cdot b$ certainly is, and by equivariance, $\varphi(\bar{b}) = \bar{a}$. Since a was assumed invariant, this average is just a again. □

Lemma 3.6. *When we restrict to free K-actions, there is a natural isomorphism*

$$H^*(X/K) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^*(X/S)^W$$

of functors $(K\text{-}\mathsf{Free})^{\mathrm{op}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}\text{-}\mathsf{CGA}$.

This is well known, although the naturality statement is typically elided.

Proof. The quotient map $X/S \longrightarrow X/K$ factors as $X/S \to X/N \to X/K$. The factor $X/S \longrightarrow X/N$ is a regular covering with fiber W, inducing [Hat02, Proposition 3G.1] an isomorphism $H^*(X/N) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^*(X/S)^W$. The fiber K/N of the factor $X/N \to X/K$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-acyclic, because it has an even-dimensional cell decomposition and its Euler characteristic satisfies $\chi(K/N) = \chi(K/S)/|W| = |W|/|W| = 1$, so $X/N \to X/K$ induces an isomorphism $H^*(X/K) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^*(X/N)$.

Naturality follows because the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} X & \longrightarrow & X/S & \longrightarrow & X/N & \longrightarrow & X/K \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Y & \longrightarrow & Y/S & \longrightarrow & Y/N & \longrightarrow & Y/K \end{array}$$

commutes and because, by Observation 3.4, the map $X/S \longrightarrow Y/S$ is W-equivariant. □

Although the result is unnecessary for our ends, we take a moment to use this background to recover (a natural phrasing of) a well known result [GGK02, Corollary C.35]. Since we have only have an action on one side, left and right actions are equivalent, and we will reflect our right-handed results in the proof without further comment.

Corollary 3.7. *Let K be a compact, connected Lie group with maximal torus S. Then there are natural isomorphisms of functors $(K\text{-}\mathsf{Top})^{\mathrm{op}} \longrightarrow H_K^*\text{-}\mathsf{CGA}$ and $(K\text{-}\mathsf{Top})^{\mathrm{op}} \longrightarrow H_S^*\text{-}\mathsf{CGA}$ respectively taking*

$$H_K^*(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_S^*(X)^W,$$
$$H_S^* \otimes_{H_K^*} H_K^*(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_S^*(X).$$

Proof. Note that ${}_SX$ is the composition of the functor $X \mapsto EK \times X$ of Definition 3.3 and the orbit-space functor $Z \mapsto Z/S$. Since the diagonal action on $EK \times X$ is free, the first isomorphism follows by Lemma 3.5.

Also note that $\xi_0 \colon BS \longrightarrow BK$ is a (K/S)-bundle. Because K/S has an even-dimensional cell decomposition and H_S^* is a polynomial algebra, and so evenly graded, the E_2 page of the spectral

sequence associated to ξ_0 is concentrated in even rows and columns, meaning it collapses and the fiber inclusion $K/S \hookrightarrow BS$ is surjective on cohomology. The construction $(-)_{S\hookrightarrow K}\colon X \longmapsto ({}_SX \to {}_KX)$ is a functor K-Top $\longrightarrow F$-Bun$/\xi_0$; the bundle map ${}_SX \longrightarrow BS$, comes from the Borel fibration. Now the second isomorphism follows by Lemma 3.1. □

Finally, here is the well known reduction lemma transitioning between the action of a Lie group and that of its maximal torus.

Lemma 3.8. *If K is a compact, connected Lie group and S a maximal torus, and K acts on a space X, then the action of K is equivariantly formal if and only if the restricted action of S is.*

Proof. We have an X-bundle map between Borel fibrations,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_S & \longrightarrow & X_K \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ BS & \longrightarrow & BK, \end{array}$$

so if the action of K is equivariantly formal, then by Lemma 3.1, the fiber inclusion $X \hookrightarrow X_S$ is H^*-surjective as well.[14]

Now suppose that the action of S is equivariantly formal, and consider the homomorphism of spectral sequences induced by the X-bundle homomorphism above. The map $H^*_K \longrightarrow H^*_S$ is an inclusion of Weyl group invariants by Lemma 3.6, so the map on E_2 pages is injective, and the differential for the E_2 page of $X \to X_K \to BK$ is the restriction of that for $X \to X_S \to BS$. But the latter differential is zero, by assumption, so the former is as well. By induction on page number, the sequence for $X \to X_K \to BK$ collapses at E_2 as well, so $H^*(X_K) \longrightarrow H^*(X)$ is surjective. □

3.3. Proof of the reduction

We can now prove the theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *If G is a compact Lie group, K a closed, connected subgroup, and S any torus maximal within K, then (G, K) is isotropy-formal if and only if (G, S) is.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.8, it is enough to show that K acts equivariantly formally on G/S if and only if it does on G/K.

For the forward direction, assume K acts equivariantly formally on G/S. Since K acts freely by right multiplication on G and ${}_KG$, by Observation 3.4, the Weyl group $W = N_K(S)/S$ of K acts on $H^*(G/S)$ and $H^*_K(G/S)$, and

$$H^*(G/S) \longleftarrow H^*_K(G/S)$$

is W-equivariant. Because, by assumption, this map is surjective, by Lemma 3.5, the restriction $H^*(G/S)^W \longleftarrow H^*_K(G/S)^W$ to subrings of W-invariants is also surjective; but by Lemma 3.6, this surjection is equivalent to the map

$$H^*(G/K) \longleftarrow H^*_K(G/K)$$

induced by the fiber inclusion $G/K \hookrightarrow {}_KG/K$.

[14] In fact, that S is a maximal torus is inconsequential for this direction: any restriction of an equivariantly formal action to a subgroup remains equivariantly formal.

For the reverse direction, assume the fiber inclusion $G/K \hookrightarrow {}_KG/K$ is H^*-surjective. Since the right K-actions on G and G_K are free, by Lemma 3.2, we may replace the fiber inclusion with $\varkappa\colon G_K \hookrightarrow {}_KG_K$. Write $\xi_0\colon BS \to BK$ again. Applying the right homotopy-quotient functor $(-)_{S\hookrightarrow K}$ of Corollary 3.7 to the fiber inclusion $G \hookrightarrow G_K$ of the Borel fibration yields the following commutative triangle in F-Bun/ξ_0:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} G_S & \xhookrightarrow{\lambda} & {}_KG_S & \twoheadrightarrow & BS \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ G_K & \xhookrightarrow[\varkappa]{} & {}_KG_K & \twoheadrightarrow & BK, \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccccc} [g,e_2]_S & \longmapsto & {}_K[e_1,g,e_2]_S & \longmapsto & Se_2 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ [g,e_2]_K & \longmapsto & {}_K[e_1,g,e_2]_K & \longmapsto & Ke_2, \end{array}$$

where the inclusions in the left square are the fiber inclusions in the Borel fibrations in question.[15]

Applying Lemma 3.1 to this diagram, the map λ^* we must show surjective is equivalent to

$$\varkappa^* \otimes \mathrm{id}_{H_S^*}\colon H^*({}_KG_K) \otimes_{H_K^*} H_S^* \longrightarrow H^*(G_K) \otimes_{H_K^*} H_S^*.$$

But by assumption, $\varkappa^*$ is surjective, and $- \otimes_{H_K^*} H_S^*$ is right exact. □

One might wonder if the passage to the (notationally cumbersome) homotopy biquotient in this proof is avoidable, but it seems not. Our proof relies crucially on the notion of being a bundle over $BS \to BK$, and the necessary maps do not exist from $G/S \to G/K$. Indeed, consider the horn

$$\begin{aligned} G/S &\longleftarrow G_S \longrightarrow BS, \\ gS &\longleftarrow\!\shortmid [g,e]_S \longmapsto Se. \end{aligned}$$

A filling map $G/S \longrightarrow BS$ would have to simultaneously take $gS \longmapsto Se$ for all $g \in G$ and $e \in ES$. Morally, since the map $G_S \longrightarrow G/S$ quotients ES out of $G \times_S ES$, while $G_S \longrightarrow BS$ quotients out G, the quotients G/S and BS have nothing left in common to construct a nontrivial map from. One can use Lemma 3.2 to get the necessary right H_K^*- and H_S^*-algebra structures on $H^*(G/S)$ and $H_K^*(G/S)$, and then reason using Corollary 3.7 on the right tensor factor, but this is slightly less direct and merely hides the fact the structure map we need exists only in the homotopy category.

4. Fixed point sets and cohomology

To prove Theorem 7.2, we reduce equivariant formality to a condition on dimensions on cohomology rings. We revert to the standard notation X_S for homotopy quotients hereafter.

Lemma 4.1 (Borel)**.** *Let S be a torus acting continuously on a topological space X such that the total Betti number $h^\bullet(X)$ is finite. Then $h^\bullet(X) \geq h^\bullet(X^S)$.*

Proof. [BBF+60, IV 5.5 (p. 62)][GGK02, Lemma C.24] This follows from the spectral sequence (E_r) of the Borel fibration $X \to X_S \to BS$, a sequence of H_S^*-modules beginning at $E_2 \cong H_S^* \otimes H^*(X)$ and ending at $E_\infty \cong H_S^*(X)$; since the H_S^*-rank of successive pages E_r can only decrease, $h^\bullet(X) \geq \mathrm{rk}_{H_S^*} H_S^*(X)$. Localize E_∞ to be a module over the field of fractions $\widehat{H}_S^*$ of H_S^* and use the Borel localization isomorphism [GGK02, Corollary C.20] $\widehat{H}_S^* \otimes_{H_S^*} H_S^*(X) \cong \widehat{H}_S^* \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} H^*(X^S)$ to find $h^\bullet(X) \geq \mathrm{rk}_{H_S^*} H_S^*(X) = h^\bullet(X^S)$. □

A torus action is equivariantly formal if and only if *equality* of these dimensions also holds.

[15] N.B.: The rows are *not* themselves bundles: the maps from the total spaces to the common base space BK of the Borel fibrations $G_S \to {}_KG_S \to BK$ and $G_K \to {}_KG_K \to BK$ are given by ${}_K[e_1,g,e_2]_S \longmapsto e_1K$ and ${}_K[e_1,g,e_2]_K \longmapsto e_1K$.

Lemma 4.2. *Let S be a torus acting continuously on a topological space X such that $h^\bullet(X)$ is finite. Then the action of S on X is equivariantly formal if and only if $h^\bullet(X) = h^\bullet(X^S)$.*

Proof. The idea is that, in the spectral sequence of the Borel fibration $X \to X_S \to BS$, the composition $H_S^*(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} E_\infty \twoheadrightarrow E_\infty^{0,\bullet} \hookrightarrow E_2^{0,\bullet} \xrightarrow{\sim} H^*(X)$ will be surjective if and only if $E_\infty^{0,\bullet} \hookrightarrow E_2^{0,\bullet}$ is an isomorphism, which happens if and only if all edge homomorphisms and hence all differentials are zero. If that happens, then $H_S^*(X) \cong H_S^* \otimes H^*(X)$ as an H_S^*-module, so $h^\bullet(X) = \operatorname{rk}_{H_S^*} H_S^*(X) = h^\bullet(X^S)$. A full proof can be found in the appendix to Ginzburg et al. [GGK02, Corollary C.27]. While the result there is over-optimistic as stated [FP08], it becomes true if "torsion-free" is everywhere replaced by "free." □

Let G be a compact Lie group and S a torus in G. Observe that $gS \in G/S$ is fixed by the isotropy action just if $SgS = gS$, or $g^{-1}Sg = S$, setwise, so that $(G/S)^S$ is the quotient group N/S of the normalizer $N = N_G(S)$, and we need to know when $h^\bullet(G/S) = h^\bullet(N/S)$. The dimension $h^\bullet(N/S)$ is easily expressed in terms of other quantities.

Lemma 4.3. *The component group $\pi_0 N$ of N is isomorphic to N/Z, and conjugation induces a natural injection $\pi_0 N \rightarrowtail \operatorname{Aut} S$. There are (unnatural) homeomorphisms $N \approx \pi_0 N \times Z$ and $N/S \approx \pi_0 N \times Z/S$.*

Proof. We have a natural continuous homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} N &\longrightarrow \operatorname{Aut} S, \\ n &\longmapsto \left(x \longmapsto nxn^{-1}\right), \end{aligned}$$

whose kernel is precisely Z, thus inducing the claimed injection $N/Z \rightarrowtail \operatorname{Aut} S$.

Since G is connected, the centralizer Z of S is connected, being the union of those maximal tori of G that contain S. As Z is connected and $\operatorname{Aut} S$ discrete, it follows that Z is the connected component of the identity in N, so that $N/Z \cong \pi_0(N)$ is the component group of N. Because the components of N are mutually homeomorphic, N is homeomorphic to the product $\pi_0 N \times Z$. Since $S \leq Z$, we have $N/S \approx \pi_0 N \times Z/S$. □

Lemma 4.4. *The cohomology of Z decomposes as*

$$H^*(Z) \cong H^*(S) \otimes H^*(Z/S).$$

Consequently, $H^*(Z/S)$ is an exterior algebra on $\operatorname{rk} G - \operatorname{rk} S$ generators and $h^\bullet(Z/S) = 2^{\operatorname{rk} G - \operatorname{rk} S}$.

Proof. First we show the inclusion $S \hookrightarrow Z$ surjects in cohomology. Recall that the second homotopy group π_2 of a compact Lie group is trivial [BtD85, Prop. V.(5.13), p. 225]. Thus, since S is normal in Z we know $\pi_2(Z/S) = 0$, and in the long exact homotopy sequence [Hat02, Theorem 4.3] of the bundle $S \to Z \to Z/S$ we have the fragment $0 = \pi_2(Z/S) \to \pi_1 S \to \pi_1 Z$. Since S and Z are topological groups, their fundamental groups are abelian and hence isomorphic to their first homology groups, so $H_1(Z) \longrightarrow H_1(S)$ is injective. Dualizing, $H^1(Z) \longrightarrow H^1(S)$ is surjective, and since $H^1(S)$ generates $H^*(S)$, it follows $H^*(Z) \longrightarrow\!\!\!\!\!\rightarrow H^*(S)$ as well.

Because $S \hookrightarrow Z$ induces a surjection in cohomology, by Samelson's theorem [Sam41, Satz VI(b), p. 1134], we have the claimed tensor decomposition $H^*(Z) \cong H^*(S) \otimes H^*(Z/S)$. By Hopf's theorem [Hop41, Satz I, p. 23], $H^*(S)$ is an exterior algebra on $\operatorname{rk} S$ generators and $H^*(K)$ is an exterior algebra on $\operatorname{rk} Z$ generators. Since Z contains a maximal torus of G, its rank is that of G, so we obtain the statement on generators by counting ranks. □

Proposition 4.5. *[GN14, Prop. 2.3] A subtorus S of a compact, connected Lie group G acts equivariantly formally on G/S if and only if*

$$h^\bullet(G/S) \leq 2^{\operatorname{rk} G - \operatorname{rk} S} \cdot |\pi_0 N|.$$

Proof. By Lemma 4.2, the action S on G/S is equivariantly formal if and only if

$$h^\bullet(G/S) = h^\bullet\big((G/S)^S\big). \tag{4.1}$$

Further, by Lemma 4.1, we always have $h^\bullet(X) \geq h^\bullet(X^S)$, so we only need to show $\leq$ in (4.1).

As $N/S \approx \pi_0 N \times Z/S$ by Lemma 4.3, we see $h^\bullet(N/S) = h^\bullet(Z/S) \cdot |\pi_0 N|$, and by Lemma 4.4, we know $h^\bullet(Z/S) = 2^{\operatorname{rk} G - \operatorname{rk} S}$. □

5. Lifting to the universal compact cover

The structure theorem for compact Lie groups [BtD85, Theorem V.(8.1), p. 233 & Exercise V.(8.7).6, p. 238] states that a compact, connected Lie group G has a finite central extension $\pi\colon \widetilde{G} \longrightarrow G$ which is the direct product of a semisimple, simply-connected Lie group K and a torus A.

If the fiber of π is F, then

$$G \cong \widetilde{G}/F = \frac{A \times K}{F}.$$

We call $\widetilde{G}$ the ***universal compact cover***; it is uniquely determined up to isomorphism.[16]

In determining which toral isotropy actions are equivariantly formal, we would like to be able to replace G with $\widetilde{G}$ and the torus S in G with the identity component $\widetilde{S}$ of its preimage in $\widetilde{G}$, a torus of equal rank. Note that with these definitions, $\pi^{-1}(S) = F\widetilde{S}$.

Proposition 5.1. *Given the hypotheses above, $H^*(G/S) \cong H^*(\widetilde{G}/\widetilde{S})$.*

Proof. We have a homeomorphism $G/S \approx \widetilde{G}/F\widetilde{S}$, and we know from the following more general lemma that $H^*(\widetilde{G}/F\widetilde{S}) \cong H^*(\widetilde{G}/\widetilde{S})$. □

Lemma 5.2. *Let Γ be a path-connected group, H_0 a connected subgroup, F be a finite central subgroup of Γ, and $H = FH_0$. Then*

$$H^*(\Gamma/H) \cong H^*(\Gamma/H_0).$$

Proof. Write $F_0 = F \cap H_0$. Then Γ/H_0 is a covering space of Γ/H with fiber $F/F_0 = H/H_0$, and $H^*(\Gamma/H)$ is isomorphic to the algebra $H^*(\Gamma/H_0)^{F/F_0}$ of invariants of $H^*(\Gamma/H_0)$ under the right (F/F_0)-action [Hat02, Proposition 3G.1]. However, this action on cohomology descends from the right F-action $\gamma H_0 \cdot f = \gamma f H_0$ on Γ/H_0, which, since F is central, is the same as the left F-action $f \cdot \gamma H_0 = f\gamma H_0$. This action, in turn, is a restriction of the left Γ-action on Γ/H_0. But Γ is path-connected, so left translation is homotopic to the identity and induces the identity map in cohomology. Thus the (F/F_0)-action on $H^*(\Gamma/H_0)$ is trivial, and

$$H^*(\Gamma/H) \cong H^*(\Gamma/H_0)^{F/F_0} = H^*(\Gamma/H_0).$$ □

Now that we know cohomology is unchanged under the substitution $(G, S) \longmapsto (\widetilde{G}, \widetilde{S})$, we want to see the same is true of normalizer components. Write $\widetilde{N} = N_{\widetilde{G}}(\widetilde{S})$ and $N = N_G(S)$ for the tori's normalizers.

Proposition 5.3. *Under the foregoing assumptions, the projection $\pi\colon \widetilde{G} \longrightarrow G$ induces an isomorphism $\pi_0\widetilde{N} \xrightarrow{\sim} \pi_0 N$.*

[16] —even though the central fiber F is not; we can always cover the toral factor A with another torus.

Proof. Since π is a homomorphism, it sends $\widetilde{N} \longrightarrow N$, inducing the claimed map $\pi_0\widetilde{N} \longrightarrow \pi_0 N$.

To see this map is injective, suppose $\widetilde{w} \in \widetilde{N}$ is such that $w = \pi(\widetilde{w})$ induces the identity on S. Then for all $s \in S$ we have $wsw^{-1}s^{-1} = 1$, so since π is a homomorphism, $\widetilde{w}\widetilde{s}\widetilde{w}^{-1}\widetilde{s}^{-1} \in F$ for all $\widetilde{s} \in \widetilde{S}$. Since $\widetilde{s} \longmapsto \widetilde{w}\widetilde{s}\widetilde{w}^{-1}\widetilde{s}^{-1}$ is a continuous function $\widetilde{S} \longrightarrow F$ to a discrete space, sending $1 \mapsto 1$, $\widetilde{w}$ must centralize $\widetilde{S}$.

To see the map is surjective, given $w \in N$, let $\widetilde{w}$ be any preimage in $\widetilde{G}$. Since π is a homomorphism, conjugation by $\widetilde{w}$ must take $\widetilde{S}$ into $\pi^{-1}(S)$, and since it fixes 1, it must in fact take $\widetilde{S} \longrightarrow \widetilde{S}$, so that $\widetilde{w} \in \widetilde{N}$. □

These facts in hand, we conclude the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Theorem 1.2. *Let G be a compact, connected Lie group and S a toral subgroup. Let $\widetilde{G}$ be a finite central covering of G and $\widetilde{S}$ the identity component of the preimage of S. Then (G, S) is isotropy-formal if and only if $(\widetilde{G}, \widetilde{S})$ is.*

Proof. We know from Proposition 4.5 that (G, S) is isotropy-formal if and only if

$$h^\bullet(G/S) = \left|\pi_0\big(N_G(S)\big)\right| 2^{\operatorname{rk} G - \operatorname{rk} S}.$$

But evidently $\operatorname{rk}\widetilde{G} = \operatorname{rk} G$ and $\operatorname{rk}\widetilde{S} = \operatorname{rk} S$; from Proposition 5.1, we know $h^\bullet(\widetilde{G}/\widetilde{S}) = h^\bullet(G/S)$; and from Proposition 5.3, we know $\pi_0\big(N_{\widetilde{G}}(\widetilde{S})\big) \longleftrightarrow \pi_0\big(N_G(S)\big)$. □

In what follows, we can therefore replace G with its universal compact cover $\widetilde{G} = A \times K$. For later, when we specialize to circles, we note the following corollary of Proposition 5.3.

Corollary 5.4. *Under these hypotheses, the torus S is reflected in G just if $\widetilde{S}$ is reflected in $\widetilde{G}$.*

6. Reduction to a semisimple group

In this section, the G is the product of a torus A and a simply-connected Lie group K. Let S be a torus in G. Write $\overline{K} = K/(S \cap K)$ and $\overline{G} = G/S$ and $A^{\perp S} = \operatorname{coker}(S \to A)$. Then the trivial bundle $K \to G \to A$ induces a bundle $\overline{K} \to \overline{G} \to A^{\perp S}$ we claim is also trivial.

Proposition 6.1. *Given the above hypotheses, $\overline{G} \approx A^{\perp S} \times \overline{K}$.*

Proof. Write $\bar{A} = A/(S \cap A)$. Then the torus

$$\overline{S} := \frac{S}{(S \cap A) \times (S \cap K)}$$

acts freely on $\bar{A} \times \overline{K}$. One can factor $\bar{A}$ as $A^{\perp S} \times \bar{A}^{\parallel S}$, where $\bar{A}^{\parallel S} = \operatorname{im}(S \to \bar{A})$ and $A^{\perp S}$ is viewed as a subgroup of $\bar{A}$ through some section of the projection $\bar{A} \twoheadrightarrow A^{\perp S}$. Now

$$\overline{G} = \frac{A \times K}{S} \approx \frac{\bar{A} \times \overline{K}}{\overline{S}} \approx A^{\perp S} \times \frac{\bar{A}^{\parallel S} \times \overline{K}}{\overline{S}}.$$

Because we quotiented out the kernel $S \cap K$ of $S \longrightarrow A$, the map $\overline{S} \longrightarrow \bar{A}^{\parallel S}$ is an isomorphism, so the factor $(\bar{A}^{\parallel S} \times \overline{K})/\overline{S} \approx (\overline{K} \times \overline{S})/\overline{S}$ is homeomorphic to $\overline{K}$ by the following lemma. □

Lemma 6.2. *Let Γ be a topological group acting continuously on the right of a topological space X. Then the orbit space of $X \times \Gamma$ under the right diagonal action of Γ is homeomorphic to X.*

Proof. The map $X \xrightarrow{\approx} X \times \{1\} \hookrightarrow X \times \Gamma \twoheadrightarrow (X \times \Gamma)/\Gamma$ is clearly continuous. It is surjective because the diagonal Γ-orbit of any point $(x, \gamma) \in X \times \Gamma$ contains the point $(x\gamma^{-1}, 1)$, and injective because $(x, 1) = (y, 1) \cdot \gamma = (y\gamma, \gamma)$ only if $\gamma = 1$ and $x = y$. To see its inverse is continuous, consider the composition

$$\begin{aligned} X \times \Gamma &\longrightarrow X \times \Gamma \longrightarrow X, \\ (x, \gamma) &\longmapsto (x, \gamma^{-1}) \longmapsto x\gamma^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

This map is constant on diagonal Γ-orbits, since $(x\delta, \gamma\delta) \longmapsto x\delta \cdot \delta^{-1}\gamma = x\gamma^{-1}$, so it descends to a continuous map $(X \times \Gamma)/\Gamma \longrightarrow \Gamma$, the inverse to the map $X \longrightarrow (X \times \Gamma)/\Gamma$ described above. □

Now we can carry through the claimed (near-)reduction to the semisimple case.

Theorem 1.3. *Let G be a compact, connected Lie group, K its commutator subgroup, S a toral subgroup of G, and $S' = S \cap K$ its intersection with K. Then (G, S) is isotropy-formal if and only if both*

1. *the pair (K, S') is isotropy-formal and*
2. *any element of K normalizing S' in fact normalizes all of S.*

Proof. In determining normalizer components, we may as well assume, by Proposition 5.3, that $G = A \times K$, so that $N = N_G(S) = A \times N_K(S)$. Note further that because K is normal in G, any group element normalizing S also must normalize S'. Write $N' = N_K(S')$. Thus we have $\pi_0 N \cong \pi_0\big(N_K(S)\big) \leq \pi_0 N'$. Using Borel's Lemma 4.1 for the action of S' on K/S' and this inequality, we have

$$h^\bullet(K/S') \geq |\pi_0 N'|\, 2^{\operatorname{rk} K - \operatorname{rk} S'} \geq |\pi_0 N|\, 2^{\operatorname{rk} K - \operatorname{rk} S'}. \tag{6.1}$$

Write $A^{\perp S} = \operatorname{coker}(S \to A)$. We know

$$\operatorname{rk} G - \operatorname{rk} S = (\operatorname{rk} A + \operatorname{rk} K) - \big(\operatorname{rk} \operatorname{im}(S \to A)\big) + \operatorname{rk} S') = \operatorname{rk} A^{\perp S} + \operatorname{rk} K - \operatorname{rk} S',$$

and, from Proposition 6.1, that $h^\bullet(G/S) = 2^{\operatorname{rk} A^{\perp S}} h^\bullet(K/S')$, so multiplying (6.1) by $2^{\operatorname{rk} A^{\perp S}}$ yields

$$h^\bullet(G/S) \geq |\pi_0 N'|\, 2^{\operatorname{rk} G - \operatorname{rk} S} \geq |\pi_0 N|\, 2^{\operatorname{rk} G - \operatorname{rk} S}. \tag{6.2}$$

Proposition 4.5 states that (G, S) is isotropy-formal if and only if the inequalities (6.2) are in fact equalities, which is equivalent to (6.1) being equalities. But by Proposition 4.5 again, this can only happen if (K, S') is isotropy-formal and $\pi_0(N') \cong \pi_0(N)$. □

Remark 6.3. There do exist cases where the inequality $|\pi_0 N| \leq |\pi_0 N'|$ is strict, making Theorem 1.3 something less than a full reduction to the semisimple case. For instance, let $G = A \times K = S^1 \times \mathrm{SU}(2)^2$, pick a circle S^1 in $\mathrm{SU}(2)$, let T be the maximal torus $A \times S^1 \times S^1$ of G, and let

$$S = \big\{(z; w, zw^{-1}) : z, w \in S^1\big\}$$

be a rank-two subtorus of T, so that $S' = \big\{(1; w, w^{-1}) : w \in S^1\big\}$. Then $|\pi_0 N'| = 2$, the nontrivial element of $\pi_0 N'$ acting on T as $(z; t, u) \longmapsto (z; t^{-1}, u^{-1})$ since A is central. But this map takes $(z; w, zw^{-1})$ to $(z; w^{-1}, z^{-1}w)$, which is not in S unless $z = z^{-1} = \pm 1$, so $\pi_0 N = 1$.

Remark 6.4. Write T' for a maximal torus of K containing $\operatorname{im}(S \to K)$. The demand each element $w \in N'$ normalize S is equivalent to the demand that for each $(a, t) \in S \leq A \times T'$, we have $(a, wtw^{-1}) \in S$, so that $wtw^{-1}t^{-1} \in S' = S \cap K$ for all $t \in \operatorname{im}(S \to T')$. Equivalently, the induced action of N' on $\operatorname{im}(S \to T')/S'$ is trivial. It is not clear, however, this reformulation is any more enlightening or applicable than the original.

Now we can tackle the case $S \cong S^1$.

7. Equivariant formality of isotropic circle actions

It is our goal in the remainder of the paper to demonstrate the statements Algorithm 1.4 and Table 1.5 regarding equivariant formality of circle actions.

We first make a preliminary remark on $\pi_0 N$. In general, continuous automorphisms of a torus $S \cong (\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^r = \mathbb{R}^r/\mathbb{Z}^r$ are induced by linear isometries of $\mathbb{R}^r$ fixing the integer lattice $\mathbb{Z}^r$, which correspond to elements of $\mathrm{GL}(r,\mathbb{Z})$, so that $\operatorname{Aut} S \cong \mathrm{GL}(r,\mathbb{Z})$. When S is a circle, $r = 1$ and $\mathrm{GL}(1,\mathbb{Z}) = \{[\pm 1]\}$, so by Lemma 4.3 the component group $\pi_0 N$ must be trivial or be generated by the involution $s \longmapsto s^{-1}$. Thus to determine $|\pi_0 N|$ in this case, it will suffice to determine whether there is any element $g \in G$ such that $g^{-1}sg = s^{-1}$ for all $s \in S$. Recall from Section 2.1 that a circle is said to be *reflected* if there is some such element g. We summarize this discussion:

Proposition 7.1. *If G is a compact, connected Lie group and S a circular subgroup, then the cardinality of $\pi_0\big(N_G(S)\big)$ is 2 if S is reflected in G and 1 otherwise.*

A detailed analysis of when a circle is reflected is conducted in Section 8. Note also that when S is a circle, the reduced cohomology $\widetilde{H}^*(S) = H^1(S) \cong \mathbb{Q}$ is one-dimensional, so the possibilities for the map in rational cohomology induced by $S \hookrightarrow G$ are severely limited: it is either surjective or trivial.

Theorem 7.2. *Let G be a compact, connected Lie group and S a circular subgroup of G. There are the following three mutually exclusive cases.*

1. *The inclusion $S \hookrightarrow G$ surjects in cohomology and S is not reflected in G.*
2. *The inclusion $S \hookrightarrow G$ is trivial in cohomology and*
   - 2a. *S is reflected in G.*
   - 2b. *S is not reflected in G.*

Only in the last case is (G,S) not isotropy-formal.

Proof. Recall (Proposition 4.5) that $h^\bullet(N/S) = |\pi_0 N|\, 2^{\operatorname{rk} G - \operatorname{rk} S}$ and that (G,S) is isotropy-formal if and only if $h^\bullet(G/S) = h^\bullet(N/S)$. Proposition 7.1 imposes the constraint $|\pi_0 N| \in \{1,2\}$, and Proposition 7.3 will impose the constraint $h^\bullet(G/S) \in \big\{\frac{1}{2}h^\bullet(G), h^\bullet(G)\big\}$. By Lemma 4.1, it is impossible that both $h^\bullet(G/S) = \frac{1}{2}h^\bullet(G)$ and $|\pi_0 N| = 2$, so there are only the following three cases.

1. We have $h^\bullet(G/S) = \frac{1}{2}h^\bullet(G)$ and $|\pi_0 N| = 1$. Equivariant formality is achieved.
2. We have $h^\bullet(G/S) = h^\bullet(G)$, and
   - 2a. $|\pi_0 N| = 2$. Equivariant formality is achieved.
   - 2b. $|\pi_0 N| = 1$. Equivariant formality is not achieved. □

To finish the proof of Theorem 7.2, it remains only to prove the following proposition:

Proposition 7.3. *If G is a compact, connected Lie group and S a circular subgroup, one of two cases holds:*

1. *$h^\bullet(G/S) = \frac{1}{2}h^\bullet(G)$ and $S \hookrightarrow G$ surjects in cohomology;*
2. *$h^\bullet(G/S) = h^\bullet(G)$ and $H^1(G) \longrightarrow H^1(S)$ is trivial.*

We will find it easier to express the conditions on the map $H^1(G) \longrightarrow H^1(S)$ in terms of the intersection of S with the commutator subgroup K.

Proposition 7.4. *Let G be a compact, connected Lie group and S a toral subgroup. The inclusion $S \hookrightarrow G$ is trivial in cohomology if and only if S is contained in the commutator subgroup K.*

Proof. Note that being contained in the commutator subgroup is invariant under taking covers, and recall from Section 5 that the rank of $H^1(G) \longrightarrow H^1(S)$ is as well, so we may assume $G = A \times K$ with A a torus and K simply-connected. Note that $S \leq K$ just when the composition $S \to G \to A$ is trivial.

If $S \to G \to A$ is trivial, then $H^1(A) \to H^1(G) \to H^1(S)$ is trivial. Since $\pi_1 K = H_1(K;\mathbb{Z})$ is zero, so also are $H_1(K)$ and $H^1(K)$, meaning $H^1(A) \cong H^1(G)$ by the Künneth theorem. Thus in this case $H^1(G) \longrightarrow H^1(S)$ is also trivial.

On the other hand, if $S \to G \to A$ is not trivial, its image is a circle in A, possibly traversed multiple times, so that $S \longrightarrow A$ induces a nonzero map $H_1(S) \longrightarrow H_1(A)$. Dualizing, $H^1(A) \longrightarrow H^1(S)$ is nonzero. □

Remark 7.5. The torus S is actually contained in the commutator subgroup K of G if and only if $S \hookrightarrow G$ is not π_1-injective.

To see this, note that $H^1(G) \longrightarrow H^1(S)$ is surjective just if the dual map $H_1(S) \longrightarrow H_1(G)$ in rational homology is injective. Since $H_1(S;\mathbb{Z})$ is free abelian, this map is injective if and only if the map $H_1(S;\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow H_1(G;\mathbb{Z})$ in integral homology is. Since S and G are groups, their fundamental groups are abelian, so this is actually the map $\pi_1 S \longrightarrow \pi_1 G$.

Proof of Proposition 7.3. Recall from Theorem 1.2 that we may assume G is the product $A \times K$ of a central torus A and the commutator subgroup K. By Proposition 7.4, either $H^1(G) \longrightarrow\!\!\!\!\!\rightarrow H^1(S)$ or $S \leq K$. In the former case, then $G/S \approx \big[A/\mathrm{im}(S \to A)\big] \times \big[K/(S \cap K)\big]$ by Proposition 6.1, and since $S \cap K$ is finite, it is immediate from Proposition 5.1 that $h^\bullet(G/S) = \frac{1}{2}h^\bullet(G)$. In the latter case, $G/S \approx A \times (K/S)$, so it is enough to show $h^\bullet(K/S) = h^\bullet(K)$; but this is Proposition 2.7, which is immediate from Koszul's Theorem 2.6. □

8. Reflectibility of circles

Let G be a compact, connected Lie group and S a circular subgroup. We know from Theorem 7.2 that if $H^*(G) \longrightarrow H^*(S)$ is trivial, then $h^\bullet(G/S) = h^\bullet(G)$, and the action of S on G/S will be equivariantly formal if and only if S is reflected in G. In this section, we determine what circular subgroups S are reflected, reducing to the case G is a semisimple group, then a simply-connected group, and finally a simple group.

8.1. The Weyl group

Elements of $N_G(S)$ reflecting S, if they exist, can be represented by elements of the Weyl group $W(G) = N_G(T)/T$ of G [Bou68, Exercise IX.2.4, p. 391][DW98, Lemma 9.7, p. 20].

Lemma 8.1. *Let G be a compact, connected Lie group, T a maximal torus, and S a subtorus. Given an automorphism of S induced by conjugation by a normalizing element $n \in N_G(S)$, there exists an element $w \in N_G(T)$ inducing the same automorphism.*

Proof. Conjugation by n stabilizes the centralizer $Z := Z_G(S)$ of S, for given $z \in Z$ and $s \in S$, since $nsn^{-1} \in S$ by normality, we have

$$(nzn^{-1})s(nzn^{-1})^{-1} = nz(n^{-1}sn)z^{-1}n^{-1} = n(n^{-1}sn)n^{-1} = s.$$

As T is a maximal torus of Z, so also must nTn^{-1} be. All maximal tori of Z are conjugate, so there exists $z \in Z$ such that $znTn^{-1}z^{-1} = T$, or $zn \in N_G(T)$. Since $z \in Z$ centralizes S and $nSn^{-1} = S$, conjugation by $w = zn$ induces the same automorphism of S as n does. □

Write $\mathrm{Fix}_{N_G(T)}(S)$ for the set of elements of $N_G(T)$ fixing S pointwise. This association $N \rightsquigarrow N_G(T)$ is not a function, but if $n \rightsquigarrow w_1$ and $n \rightsquigarrow w_2$, then conjugation by $w_1^{-1}w_2$ fixes S pointwise. so $N \rightsquigarrow N_G(T)$ descends to a well-defined homomorphism

$$N \longrightarrow \frac{N_G(T)}{Z_G(S) \cap N_G(T)} \cong \frac{W(G)}{\mathrm{Fix}_{W(G)}(S)}$$

with kernel Z; thus, to determine $|\pi_0 N|$, we need only survey Weyl group elements. We state this as a proposition.

Proposition 8.2. *Let G be a compact, connected Lie group and S a torus in G. Write $N = N_G(S)$ for its normalizer and $Z = Z_G(S)$ for its centralizer. Then the conjugation action of G induces an injection $\pi_0 N \cong N/Z \rightarrowtail W(G)/\mathrm{Fix}_{W(G)}(S)$. In particular, S is reflected in G if and only if conjugation by some element of the Weyl group $W(G)$ of G induces $s \longmapsto s^{-1}$ on S.*

Note that for the purposes of this definition, S need not be a circle.

Corollary 8.3. *Let G be a compact, connected Lie group and H a closed, connected subgroup. If the maximal torus S of H is reflected in H, it is also in G.*

Proof. We have subgroup inclusions $N_H(S) \leq N_G(S) =: N$ and $S = Z_H(S) \leq Z_G(S) =: Z$, so by Proposition 8.2, there is chain of injections $W(H) \rightarrowtail N/Z \rightarrowtail W(G)/\mathrm{Fix}_{W(G)}(S)$ preserving actions on S. □

8.2. Reduction to simple groups

Let G be a compact, connected Lie group and S a circular subgroup. From Proposition 5.3, we may assume G is the product $A \times K$ of a torus A and a simply-connected Lie group K. From Theorem 7.2 and Proposition 7.4, we know that S is not reflected unless it is contained in K. Further, since the conjugation action of A is trivial, if S is reflected, it is also reflected in K. Applying Corollary 5.4, then, we have the following.

Proposition 8.4. *Let G be a compact, connected Lie group and S a toral subgroup. Then S is reflected in G if and only if it is reflected in the commutator subgroup K.*

Reflectibility of a circle in a simply-connected group in turn depends only on simple factors.

Lemma 8.5. *Let $G = \prod G_j$ be a product of finitely many connected Lie groups G_j. Then the Weyl group of G is the direct product $\prod W(G_j)$.*

Proof. Given maximal tori $T_j \leq G_j$, the product $T = \prod T_j$ is a maximal torus of G. For $w \in G$ to normalize T, its coordinate $w_j \in G_j$ must normalize T_j, so it follows $N_G(T)$ is contained in $\prod N_{G_j}(T_j)$. On the other hand, any $(w_j) \in \prod N_{G_j}(T_j)$ must normalize $T = \prod T_j$. □

This statement about Weyl groups translates into the expected statement about reflectibility in products, Proposition 8.6.

Proposition 8.6. *Let K be a product of Lie groups K_j. If S is a toral subgroup of K and S_j, for each j, its image under the projection $K \twoheadrightarrow K_j$, then S is reflected in K just if each S_j is reflected in K_j.*

Proof. Write T_j for maximal tori of K_j containing S_j. If S is reflected in K, then there is some element $(w_j) \in W = \prod W(K_j)$ such that (w_j) reflects S. Since the projections $\prod T_j \longrightarrow T_j$ are W-equivariant, it follows each S_j is reflected by w_j in K_j.

Now suppose each S_j is reflected in K_j. Then each S_j is reflected by some $w_j \in W_j$, so the list $w = (w_j)$ reflects the torus $\prod S_j$ in K; and S is a subtorus of $\prod S_j$. □

So, to determine reflectibility of a given circle in a compact, connected Lie group, we need only lift it to the universal cover, verify that it intersects any toral component trivially, and check whether or not its projection to each simple factor is reflected.

8.3. Reflected circles in simple groups

Let K be a simple Lie group, S a circular subgroup, and T a maximal torus of K. Some conjugate kSk^{-1} is contained in T, and conjugation $x \longmapsto kxk^{-1}$ takes $N_K(S)$ to $N_K(kSk^{-1})$, so that S is reflected in K if and only if its conjugate kSk^{-1} is, and we may as well assume S is already in T.

We make a representation-theoretic remark, then determine which circles in simple Lie groups are reflected, working case by case through the Killing–Cartan classification.

The circle S determines a line $\mathfrak{s}$ in the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{t}$ of T. Choosing an orientation of S and a system $\Delta = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{\dim T}\}$ of simple roots suitably, we can ensure the positive ray $\mathfrak{s}^+$ lies in the positive closed Weyl chamber $\bigcap \alpha_j^{-1}[0, \infty)$, and S is reflected if and only if some $w \in W$ takes $\mathfrak{s}^+$ to $-\mathfrak{s}^+$. Since the Weyl group acts simply transitively on Weyl chambers and the longest word $w_0 \in W$ sends Δ to $-\Delta$, if any Weyl element sends $\mathfrak{s}^+$ to $-\mathfrak{s}^+$, then w_0 will.

Identifying $\mathfrak{t}$ with $\mathfrak{t}^*$ through the Killing form, (the image of) $\mathfrak{s}^+$ meets the weight lattice of K and determines a minimal dominant weight λ; and S is reflected if and only if some Weyl group element takes $\lambda \mapsto -\lambda$. Again, if any Weyl element does so, then w_0 will, so S is reflected if and only if $\lambda = -w_0\lambda$. The dual representation to the irreducible representation with highest weight λ is that with highest weight $-w_0\lambda$, so we have the following.

Proposition 8.7. *Let K be a simple Lie group and S a circular subgroup. Then S is reflected in K if and only if the irreducible representation of K determined by S is self-dual.*

This proposition gives an alternate interpretation of Table 1.5.

8.3.1. The case all circles are reflected

It is known that if one exists, a central involution of the Weyl group acts as $X \longmapsto -X$ on the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{t}$ of a maximal torus [DW01, Theorem 1.8] and further, that if there does exist a central involution, it is represented by the longest word $w_0 \in W$. We could therefore simply note that $Z(W) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$ for the Weyl groups of types B_n, C_n, D_{2n}, G_2, F_4, E_7, and E_8, but we prefer to argue directly.

Proposition 8.8. *Let K be a finite quotient of any of the simply-connected classical simple Lie groups $\mathrm{Spin}(2n+1)$, $\mathrm{Sp}(n)$, and $\mathrm{Spin}(4n)$, or the simply-connected exceptional Lie groups of types G_2, F_4, E_7, and E_8, and S a maximal torus of K. Then S is reflected in K.*

Proof. It will be conventient to write $J := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathrm{O}(2)$. Here are the cases.

- $\mathrm{Spin}(2n+1)$. In $\mathrm{SO}(2n+1)$, conjugations by the orthogonal block-diagonal matrices $g_1 = \mathrm{diag}\left(J, \dots, J, [1]\right)$ and $g_{-1} = \mathrm{diag}\left(J, \dots, J, [-1]\right)$ reflect the block-diagonal maximal torus $T = \mathrm{SO}(2)^n \times \{[1]\}$, and one of $g_{\pm 1}$ is in $\mathrm{SO}(2n+1)$. Since $\mathrm{Spin}(2n+1)$ is a double cover of $\mathrm{SO}(2n+1)$, the maximal torus $\widetilde{T}$ of $\mathrm{Spin}(2n+1)$ is reflected by Corollary 5.4.

- $\mathrm{Sp}(n)$. Choose as maximal torus the subgroup $T = \mathrm{U}(1)^n < \mathrm{Sp}(1)^n$ of diagonal elements with coordinates in the $\{1, i\}$-plane of $\mathbb{H}$. Then conjugation by the diagonal matrix $\mathrm{diag}(j, \cdots, j) = j \cdot I \in \mathrm{Sp}(n)$ reflects T.

- $\mathrm{Spin}(4n)$. Conjugation by the block-diagonal matrix $\mathrm{diag}(J, \ldots, J) \in \mathrm{SO}(4n)$ reflects each element of the block-diagonal maximal torus $T = \mathrm{SO}(2)^{2n}$. Since $\mathrm{Spin}(4n)$ is a double cover of $\mathrm{SO}(4n)$, the maximal torus $\widetilde{T}$ of $\mathrm{Spin}(4n)$ is reflected by Corollary 5.4.

- G_2. The roots are the vertices of a nested pair of regular hexagons in the plane $\mathfrak{t}^* \cong \mathfrak{t}$. The Weyl group is the dihedral group D_6 of order 12, which contains rotation by π.

- F_4. Recall [MT00, Theorem 7.4(1), p. 357] that F_4 acts transitively on the octonionic plane $\mathbb{O}\mathrm{P}^2$ with point-stabilizer $\mathrm{Spin}(9)$. A maximal torus T^4 of $\mathrm{Spin}(9)$ is reflected in $\mathrm{Spin}(9)$, so by Corollary 8.3, it is also reflected in F_4. But T^4 is also maximal in F_4.

- E_7. Recall [Wol67, p. 285] that E_7 admits a local product subgroup $H \cong \mathrm{SO}(12) \cdot \mathrm{SU}(2)$. Since this subgroup is of rank 7, it contains a maximal torus of E_7. By Corollary 8.3, it suffices to know this maximal torus is reflected in H. Because H is finitely covered by the direct product $\mathrm{SO}(12) \times \mathrm{SU}(2)$, it is enough, by Corollary 5.4, to see that a maximal torus of $\mathrm{SO}(12) \times \mathrm{SU}(2)$ is reflected. Finally, by Proposition 8.6, it is enough to know the maximal tori of $\mathrm{SO}(12)$ and $\mathrm{SU}(2) \cong \mathrm{Sp}(1)$ are reflected; but we proved so above.

- E_8. Recall [Wol67, p. 285] that E_8 has a subgroup $\mathrm{SO}(16)$ of rank 8. Let T^8 be a maximal torus of E_8 lying in this $\mathrm{SO}(16)$. We showed above that T^8 is reflected in $\mathrm{SO}(16)$, so by Corollary 8.3, it also is in E_8. □

8.3.2. The cases of $\mathrm{SU}(n)$ and $\mathrm{Spin}(4n+2)$

In the remaining cases, there is no Weyl element reflecting the entire maximal torus, and we have to distinguish between circles.

Proposition 8.9. *Let $K = \mathrm{SU}(n)$ and let S be a circular subgroup. Then S is reflected if and only if the exponent multiset J of the inclusion $S \hookrightarrow T$ satisfies $J = -J$.*

Proof. Let T be the diagonal maximal torus $\mathrm{U}(1)^n \cap \mathrm{SU}(n)$ of $\mathrm{SU}(n)$. Then S is conjugate into T, and we may replace S with this conjugate, which is the image of a map $z \longmapsto \mathrm{diag}(z^{a_1}, \ldots, z^{a_n})$, where the $a_j \in \mathbb{Z}$ have greatest common divisor 1 and $\sum a_j = 1$. Write $J = \{a_1, \ldots, a_n\}$ for the multiset of exponents characterizing this embedding of S.[17]

The Weyl group of $\mathrm{SU}(n)$ is the symmetric group S_n, acting on T through permutation of coordinates, so S is reflected if and only if there exists $\sigma \in S_n$ such that $\mathrm{diag}\left(z^{a_{\sigma(1)}}, \ldots, z^{a_{\sigma(n)}}\right) = \mathrm{diag}(z^{-a_1}, \ldots, z^{-a_n})$ for all $z \in S^1$. This is the case if and only if J and $-J$ equal multisets. □

Remark 8.10. The self-duality criterion Proposition 8.7 rears its head here as follows. The representation τ of S on $\mathbb{C}^n$ given by restricting the defining representation of $\mathrm{SU}(n)$ to S is a direct sum $\bigoplus_{j=1}^n \rho^{\otimes a_j}$ of tensor powers of the defining representation ρ of S^1 on $\mathbb{C}$. The dual representation $\tau^\vee = \bigoplus_{j=1}^n \rho^{\otimes(-a_j)}$, will be isomorphic to τ just if $J = -J$. A similar remark will hold regarding Proposition 8.11, for the representation on $\mathbb{R}^{4n+2}$ obtained from restricting the defining representation of $\mathrm{SO}(4n+2)$.

[17] That is, as with the collection of roots of a polynomial, elements are *not* ordered, but *are* counted with multiplicity. Though the sequence $(a_1, \ldots, a_n)$ is not uniquely determined by S, the pair of multisets $\{J, -J\}$ is; equivalently, J is well-defined up to sign.

Proposition 8.11. *Let S be a circle in* $\mathrm{Spin}(4n+2)$*. Then S is reflected in* $\mathrm{Spin}(4n+2)$ *if and only if it is conjugate into a* $\mathrm{Spin}(4n)$ *subgroup.*

Proof. By Proposition 5.3, it is equivalent to work in $\mathrm{SO}(4n+2)$, where the equivalent statement is this: a circle S in $\mathrm{SO}(4n+2)$, is reflected if and only if it is conjugate into the block-diagonal subgroup $\mathrm{diag}\big(\mathrm{SO}(4n),1,1\big)$ of $\mathrm{SO}(4n+2)$.

For sufficiency, if $S<T$ is contained in some $\mathrm{SO}(4n)\times\{1\}^2$ subgroup, then conjugation by a block-diagonal matrix with $2n$ blocks J and one 2×2 block I induces $s\longmapsto s^{-1}$ on S.

For necessity, if $S<T$ is not contained in any $\mathrm{SO}(4n)$ subgroup, there are no 0 exponents a_j in the exponent multiset $J(\phi)=\{a_1,\dots,a_n\}$ of the embedding

$$\begin{aligned}\phi\colon S^1 \xrightarrow{\sim} S \hookrightarrow \mathrm{SO}(2)^{2n+1} &\xrightarrow{\sim} (S^1)^{2n+1},\\ z &\longmapsto (z^{a_1},\dots,z^{a_n}),\end{aligned}$$

so all exponents a_j are either negative or positive. If there are $n_+(\phi)$ positive exponents and $n_-(\phi)$ negative exponents, then since $n_+(\phi)+n_-(\phi)=2n+1$ is odd, the numbers $n_+(\phi), n_-(\phi)$ are of *differing parity*. By Proposition 8.2, any reflection of S must be induced by the Weyl group $W=W(D_{2n+1})=H_{2n}\rtimes S_{2n+1}$, where $H_{2n}<\{\pm1\}^{2n+1}$ is the hyperplane defined by $\prod_{j=1}^{2n+1}\varepsilon_j=1$ and S_{2n+1} acts on T and on the normal factor H_{2n} by permuting entries. Thus an even number of exponent signs in $J(w\circ\phi)$ differ from the corresponding signs in $J(\phi)$, so any $w\in W$ preserves the parities of n_+ and n_-:

$$\begin{aligned}n_+(w\circ\phi)&\equiv n_+(\phi) \pmod 2;\\ n_-(w\circ\phi)&\equiv n_-(\phi) \pmod 2.\end{aligned}$$

If w were to reflect S, however, we would need $J(w\circ\phi)=-J(\phi)$, so that $n_+(w\circ\phi)\equiv n_-(\phi)$ and $n_-(w\circ\phi)\equiv n_+(\phi) \pmod 2$, which is impossible since $n_+(\phi)$ and $n_-(\phi)$ are of different parity. Thus S cannot be reflected in $\mathrm{SO}(4n+2)$. □

8.3.3. E_6

We come to the final and most interesting case. Recall from Table 1.5 the desired conclusion:

Proposition 8.12. *A circular subgroup S of E_6 or its universal cover $\widetilde{E}_6$ is reflected just if it is conjugate into a* $\mathrm{Spin}(8)$ *subgroup.*

To motivate this conclusion, consider the famous chain of inclusions

$$\mathrm{SU}(2)<\mathrm{SU}(3)<G_2<\mathrm{Spin}(8)<\mathrm{Spin}(9)<F_4<E_6<E_7<E_8,$$

or more specifically the subchain $\mathrm{Spin}(8)<F_4<E_6$. The three-fold universal cover $\widetilde{E}_6\longrightarrow\!\!\!\!\!\rightarrow E_6$ restricts to covers of F_4 and $\mathrm{Spin}(8)$, and Since these groups are simply-connected, they are isomorphic to the identity components of their preimages, so we get a lifted chain $\mathrm{Spin}(8)<F_4<\widetilde{E}_6$. Because a maximal torus T^4 of $\mathrm{Spin}(8)$ is reflected in $\mathrm{Spin}(8)$, it is also reflected in E_6 and $\widetilde{E}_6$, by Corollary 8.3 and Corollary 5.4:

Proposition 8.13. *Any circular subgroup S of E_6 or $\widetilde{E}_6$ contained in a* $\mathrm{Spin}(8)$ *subgroup is reflected.*

To prove Proposition 8.12, we must show this sufficient condition is also necessary. Fix a maximal torus T^6 of $\widetilde{E}_6$. We create a collection of 4-spaces in the dual $(\mathfrak{t}^6)^*$ to the Lie algebra of T^6 which contains all reflected subspaces of $(\mathfrak{t}^6)^*$, transport those spaces to $\mathfrak{t}^6$ through the Killing isomorphism, then show these subspaces are the Lie algebras of maximal tori of $\mathrm{Spin}(8)$ subgroups of $\widetilde{E}_6$.

Definition 8.14. Let XLV be the collection of subspaces $\mathbb{R}\Xi$ of $(\mathfrak{t}^6)^*$ spanned by quadruples Ξ of mutually orthogonal roots of $\widetilde{E}_6$. Write $\varkappa$ for the W-equivariant isomorphism $\mathfrak{t}^6 \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathfrak{t}^6)^*$ between the adjoint and coadjoint representations of $\widetilde{E}_6$ induced through the Killing form [BtD85, p. 194].

Proposition 8.15. *The union* $\bigcup_{w\in W(E_6)}\{v \in \mathfrak{t}^6 : w\cdot v = -v\}$ *of all* (-1)*-eigenspaces of the adjoint action of* $W(E_6)$ *is* $\bigcup$ XLV.

Proof. Any collection $\{\alpha_j\} \subset (\mathfrak{t}^6)^*$ of jointly orthogonal roots is reflected by the product $\prod w_{\alpha_j} \in W$ of (commuting) root reflections corresponding to those roots. Because $\varkappa$ is W-equivariant, each $\varkappa^{-1}(\mathbb{R}\Xi) \in \varkappa^{-1}(\text{XLV})$ is contained in the (-1)-eigenspace of some Weyl element.

For the other direction, if $v \in \mathfrak{t}$ is a nonzero vector reflected by an element $w \in W$ of order $2^k \cdot m$, where m is odd, then $w^m \cdot v = -v$ as well. So all reflected lines are reflected by elements of even-power order.

Each involution $w \in W$ can be expressed as a product of orthogonal root reflections [Car72, Lemma 5, p. 5], and it will become clear later in this section (or from the results of Appendix B) that the largest such collection is of cardinality 4, so all (-1)-eigenspaces of involutions in W are contained in $\bigcup$ XLV.

We now need only show the (-1)-eigenspace of each element of orders $4, 8, \ldots$ in W is also in $\bigcup$ XLV. Given a pair $w, w' \in W$ whose (-1)-eigenspaces satisfy $V_{-1}(w) \leq V_{-1}(w')$, then for all $g \in W$ we have $V_{-1}(gwg^{-1}) = g\cdot V_{-1}(w) \leq g\cdot V_{-1}(w') = V_{-1}(gw'g^{-1})$ as well, so we only need to check for one element in each conjugacy class of even-power order elements whether its (-1)-eigenspace is contained in $\bigcup$ XLV.

One may verify this using the GAP 4 program comprising Appendix B, which returns a positive result on a mid-2011 iMac with 4 gigabytes of RAM in approximately 1.5 seconds. □

It remains to show elements of XLV are tangent to Spin(8) subgroups. Because it will turn out (Proposition 8.21) $|\text{XLV}| = 45$, it is impractical to demonstrate individually for each $\mathbb{R}\Xi$ that it arises from a Spin(8), so we will show (Proposition 8.16) that W acts transitively on XLV, and then it will suffice to prove a single $\varkappa^{-1}(\mathbb{R}\Xi)$ is tangent to the maximal torus of a Spin(8) subgroup.

But to do any of this, we need to be more explicit about what these spaces and roots are. Though the space $(\mathfrak{t}^6)^*$ is six-dimensional, it standard to identify it not with $\mathbb{R}^6$ but rather with a six-dimensional subspace of $\mathbb{R}^8 = \mathbb{R}^5 \times \mathbb{R}^3$.[18] A standard system of simple roots for E_6, already invoked in the program in Appendix B, is [CCN+85, p. 26][Bou68, Planche V, p. 260]

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta \;:=\; \{\; &\tfrac{1}{2}(1,\;\;1,\;\;1,\;\;1,\;\;1;\;\;1,\;\;1,\;\;1),\\ &-(1,\;\;1,\;\;0,\;\;0,\;\;0;\;\;0,\;\;0,\;\;0),\\ &\;\;(1,-1,\;\;0,\;\;0,\;\;0;\;\;0,\;\;0,\;\;0),\\ &\;\;(0,\;\;1,-1,\;\;0,\;\;0;\;\;0,\;\;0,\;\;0),\\ &\;\;(0,\;\;0,\;\;1,-1,\;\;0;\;\;0,\;\;0,\;\;0),\\ &\;\;(0,\;\;0,\;\;0,\;\;1,-1;\;\;0,\;\;0,\;\;0)\;\}.\end{aligned}$$

The simple roots Δ span the six-dimensional subspace

$$(\mathfrak{t}^6)^* \;=\; \left(\mathbb{R}^5 \times \{0\}^3\right) \;\oplus\; \mathbb{R}\cdot(1,1,1,1,1;1,1,1)$$

[18] This $\mathbb{R}^8$ is conceived of as the Lie algebra of the maximal torus of E_8.

and generate the system Φ of 72 roots obtained by permuting the first five coordinates of

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \gamma_{12} & = & \phantom{\tfrac{1}{2}}(1,\ 1,\ 0,\ 0,\ 0;\ 0,\ 0,\ 0), \\ \delta_{12} & = & \phantom{\tfrac{1}{2}}(1,-1,\ 0,\ 0,\ 0;\ 0,\ 0,\ 0), \\ \varepsilon_1 & = & \tfrac{1}{2}\ (1,-1,-1,-1,-1;\ 1,\ 1,\ 1), \\ \zeta & = & \tfrac{1}{2}\ (1,\ 1,\ 1,\ 1,\ 1;\ 1,\ 1,\ 1), \\ \eta_{12} & = & \tfrac{1}{2}(-1,-1,\ 1,\ 1,\ 1;\ 1,\ 1,\ 1), \end{array}$$

and multiplying the resulting elements by ± 1. Among these we declare the 36 positive roots to be[19]

$$\Phi^+ := \{\gamma_{ab}, \delta_{ab}, \eta_{ab}\}_{1\le a<b\le 5} \cup \{\varepsilon_a\}_{1\le a\le 5} \cup \{\zeta\}.$$

Now we can show the elements of Ξ are conjugate.

Proposition 8.16. *The Weyl group $W(E_6)$ acts transitively on* XIV.

This follows immediately from the following stronger statement. (Cf. Carter [Car72, Lemma 11.(i), p. 14], where transitivity is proved for triples.)

Lemma 8.17. *The Weyl group $W(E_6)$ acts simply transitively on the set of ordered quadruples of mutually orthogonal roots in $(\mathfrak{t}^6)^*$.*

Proof. Given any ordered quadruple $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta)$ in Φ, we find a unique element of $W(E_6)$ sending it to $(\zeta, -\varepsilon_1, \delta_{23}, \delta_{45})$.

Since W acts transitively and isometrically on Φ, there exists a $w \in W$ such that $w\alpha = \zeta$, and $w \cdot \{\beta, \gamma, \delta\}$ is contained in the set $\Phi(A_5) = \{\pm\varepsilon_a\}_{1\le a\le 5} \cup \{\pm\delta_{ab}\}_{1\le a<b\le 5}$ of roots orthogonal to ζ.

Amongst these, a spanning sequence is $\Delta(A_5) = (-\varepsilon_1, \delta_{12}, \delta_{23}, \delta_{34}, \delta_{45})$. Each entry has inner product -1 with adjacent entries and is orthogonal to the others, so $\Phi(A_5)$ is a root system of type A_5, and root reflections in $\Phi(A_5)$ generate a Weyl subgroup $W(A_5) < W(E_6)$ fixing ζ. As $W(A_5)$ acts transitively on $\Phi(A_5)$, there is a $w' \in W(A_5)$ such that $w'w\beta = -\varepsilon_1$. Since w' is an isometry, the roots $w'w \cdot \{\gamma, \delta\}$ are contained in the set $\Phi(A_3) \subsetneq \Phi(A_5) = \{\pm\delta_{ab}\}_{1\le a<b\le 5}$. of roots orthogonal to $\{\zeta, -\varepsilon_1\}$.

Amongst these, a spanning sequence is $\Delta(A_3) = (\delta_{23}, \delta_{34}, \delta_{45})$, so $\Phi(A_3)$ is a root system of type A_3, and root reflections in $\Phi(A_3)$ generate a Weyl subgroup $W(A_3) < W(A_5)$ fixing $(\zeta, -\varepsilon_1)$. As $W(A_3)$ acts transitively on $\Phi(A_3)$, there is a $w'' \in W(A_3)$ such that $w''w'w\gamma = \delta_{23}$. Since w'' is an isometry, the root $w''w'w\delta$ is contained in the set $\Phi(A_1) = \{\pm\delta_{45}\}$ of roots orthogonal to $\{\zeta, -\varepsilon_1, \delta_{23}\}$, a system of type A_1.

The root reflection in $\Phi(A_1)$ generates a Weyl subgroup $W(A_1) < W(A_3)$ fixing $(\zeta, -\varepsilon_1, \delta_{23})$. As $W(A_1)$ acts transitively on $\Phi(A_1)$, there is exists a $w''' \in W(A_1)$ such that $w'''w''w'w\delta = \delta_{45}$.

Thus the composition $w'''w''w'w$ does what we want to the quadruple $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta)$. That it is the unique Weyl group element doing so follows from a sequence of applications of the orbit–stabilizer theorem. Since $W(E_6)$ has order 51,840 and transitively permutes 72 roots, it follows $\mathrm{Stab}(\zeta)$ has order 720. Since $\mathrm{Stab}(\zeta)$ transitively permutes the 30 roots $\Phi(A_5)$, it follows that the ordered pair stabilizer $\mathrm{Stab}(\zeta, -\varepsilon_1)$ has order 24. Since this stabilizer transitively permutes the 12 roots $\Phi(A_3)$, it follows the triplet-stabilizer $\mathrm{Stab}(\zeta, -\varepsilon_1, \delta_{23})$ has order 2. And this stabilizer acts simply transitively on $\Phi(A_1) = \{\pm\delta_0\}$, so $\mathrm{Stab}(\zeta, -\varepsilon_1, \delta_{23}, \delta_{45})$ is trivial and $W(E_6)$ acts simply transitively on ordered quadruples of mutually orthogonal roots. □

[19] Here, to be clear, in δ_{ab} the 1 appears in the a^{th} coordinate and the -1 in the b^{th}, but we will let $\eta_{ab} = \eta_{ba}$ and $\gamma_{ab} = \gamma_{ba}$ for notational convenience. For example, $\delta_{24} = (0,1,0,-1,0;0;0,0)$ and $\eta_{43} = \frac{1}{2}(1,1,-1,-1,1;1,1,1)$ and $\varepsilon_5 = \frac{1}{2}(-1,-1,-1,-1,1;1,1,1)$.

Now we show such a $\Phi \cap \mathbb{R}\Xi$ is a D_4 root system.

Lemma 8.18. *The D_4 root subsystems of Φ are precisely $\{\Phi \cap \mathbb{R}\Xi : \mathbb{R}\Xi \in \text{XIV}\}$.*

Proof. A root system of type D_4 is spanned by four mutually orthogonal roots, so a D_4 subsystem of Φ must be $\Phi \cap \mathbb{R}\Xi$ for some $\mathbb{R}\Xi \in \text{XIV}$.

On the other hand, let $\Xi = \{\gamma_{12}, \delta_{12}, \gamma_{34}, \delta_{34}\}$; then $\mathbb{R}\Xi \in \text{XIV}$ is generic by Proposition 8.16. The quadruple $\Delta = (\gamma_{12}, \gamma_{34}, \delta_{34}, -\gamma_{23})$ in $\Phi \cap \mathbb{R}\Xi$ spans $\mathbb{R}\Xi$, its first three entries are mutually orthogonal, and $\gamma_{23} \cdot \gamma_{12} = \gamma_{23} \cdot \gamma_{34} = \gamma_{23} \cdot \delta_{34} = -1$, so $\Phi \cap \mathbb{R}\Xi$ is a root system of type D_4. □

Now we can finally show XIV does have something to do with Spin(8).

Proposition 8.19. *Given a maximal torus T^6 of $\widetilde{E}_6$, the duals in $\mathfrak{t}^6$ to the spaces XIV in $(\mathfrak{t}^6)^*$ are precisely the Lie algebras $\mathfrak{t}^6$ of those maximal tori T^4 of $\mathrm{Spin}(8)$ subgroups such that T^4 is contained in T^6.*

Proof. Recall that given a Lie group G with maximal torus T, with root system $\Phi \subsetneq \mathfrak{t}^*$, associated to each element α of the root lattice $Q(G) := \mathbb{Z}\Phi < \mathfrak{t}^*$ spanned by Φ is a coroot $\alpha^\vee = \frac{2}{\alpha \cdot \alpha} \varkappa^{-1}(\alpha) \in \mathfrak{t}$, and that the $\mathbb{Z}$-span of these is the *coroot lattice* $Q^\vee(G) < \mathfrak{t}$. This coroot lattice is contained in the *integer lattice* $\Lambda(T) := \ker(\exp\colon \mathfrak{t} \to T) \cong \pi_1 T$ as the kernel [BtD85, p. 223] of a canonical surjection $\Lambda(T) \twoheadrightarrow \pi_1 G$, so that if G is simply connected, $Q^\vee(G) = \Lambda(T)$. If the roots $\alpha \in \Phi(G)$ are all long, as is the case with E_6 and D_4, then each $\alpha \cdot \alpha = 2$, so that the Killing isomorphism $\varkappa\colon \mathfrak{t} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{t}^*$ takes $Q^\vee(G)$ isomorphically onto $Q(G)$.

Now consider a Spin(8) in $\widetilde{E}_6$ whose maximal torus T^4 lies in T^6. The inclusion $T^4 \hookrightarrow T^6$ induces inclusions $\mathfrak{t}^4 \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{t}^6$ and $\Lambda(T^4) \hookrightarrow \Lambda(T^6)$.[20] Since Spin(8) and $\widetilde{E}_6$ are both simply-connected, their coroot lattices are their integer lattices, so this last inclusion can also be written $Q^\vee(\mathrm{Spin}(8)) \hookrightarrow Q^\vee(\widetilde{E}_6)$. Selecting all elements of length $\sqrt{2}$ in these lattices respectively yields root systems $\Phi^\vee(\mathrm{Spin}(8)) \subsetneq \Phi^\vee(\widetilde{E}_6)$ of types D_4 and E_6 within $\mathfrak{t}^4$ and $\mathfrak{t}^6$. Because all roots of both groups are long, the Killing isomorphism $\varkappa$ takes these systems respectively to a root system $\Phi(D_4)$ of type D_4 and the root system Φ of $\widetilde{E}_6$ described above. By Lemma 8.18, the span of $\Phi(D_4)$ is $\Phi \cap \mathbb{R}\Xi$ for some $\Xi \in \text{XIV}$. That is, $\varkappa(\mathfrak{t}^4) \in \text{XIV}$. □

The transitivity of the action on orthogonal quadruples makes it relatively simple to enumerate XIV.

Lemma 8.20. *A vector space $\mathbb{R}\Xi \in \text{XIV}$ is spanned by precisely three distinct sets of four mutually orthogonal roots.*

Proof. Let $\Xi = \{\gamma_{12}, \delta_{12}, \gamma_{34}, \delta_{34}\}$ again. The positive roots $\Phi^+ \cap \mathbb{R}\Xi$ are $\{\gamma_{ab}, \delta_{ab} \colon 1 \le a < b \le 4\}$. Among these, the three roots orthogonal to δ_{ab} are $\{\gamma_{ab}, \gamma_{cd}, \delta_{cd}\}$, where $\big|\{a,b,c,d\}\big| = 4$ and $c < d$, and likewise the three roots orthogonal to γ_{ab} are $\{\delta_{ab}, \gamma_{cd}, \delta_{cd}\}$, so the spanning quadruples are determined by the partitions of $\{1,2,3,4\}$ into pairs of pairs $\big\{\{a,b\},\{c,d\}\big\}$, of which there are three. □

Proposition 8.21. *We have $|\text{XIV}| = 45$.*

Proof. The Weyl group $W(E_6)$ acts simply transitively on the set of ordered quadruples $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta)$ of mutually orthogonal roots, by Proposition 8.16, and $\big|\{\pm 1\}^4 \rtimes S_4\big|$ distinct such quadruples correspond to any given *set* $\{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta\}$ of four mutually orthogonal *positive* roots, so there are $\big|W(E_6)\big| / \big|\{\pm 1\}^4 \rtimes S_4\big| = 51{,}840/384 = 135$ such sets. By Lemma 8.20, each such 4-space admits three distinct unordered bases of positive roots, so there are $135/3 = 45$ distinct such spaces. □

[20] To tie this back to circles, the exponential of each line $\mathfrak{s}$ in $\mathfrak{t}^6$ containing a point of $\Lambda(T^6)$ is a circle in T^6, so the set of circles in T^6 can be identified with the projectivization $P\Lambda(T^6)$.

Remark 8.22. Proposition 8.21 also shows that the stabilizer of any element of XIV in $W(E_6)$ is a group of order $|W(E_6)|/45 = 1{,}152 = |W(F_4)|$. If we write $N = N_{E_6}(T^4)$ and $Z = Z_{E_6}(T^4)$, then by the proof of Corollary 8.3 we have the chain of injections

$$W(F_4) \rightarrowtail \frac{N}{Z} \rightarrowtail \frac{W(E_6)}{\mathrm{Fix}_{W(E_6)}(T^4)},$$

and we have in essence just shown the map $W(F_4) \rightarrowtail N/Z$ is actually an isomorphism and $\mathrm{Fix}_{W(E_6)}(T^4)$ is trivial, so that $W(F_4)$ injects into $W(E_6)$ as the set of elements normalizing T^4.

Remark 8.23. We originally found the 135 maximal mutually orthogonal sets of positive roots through brute force, then checked with Python we had accounted for them all. Explicitly, they are

$$\begin{aligned}
&(60) && \{\varepsilon_a, \eta_{ab}, \gamma_{ac}, \delta_{de}\}, && \text{where } |\{a,b,c,d,e\}| = 5 \text{ and } d < e,\\
&(30) && \{\eta_{ab}, \eta_{cd}, \gamma_{ac}, \gamma_{bd}\}, && \text{where } |\{a,b,c,d\}| = 4,\\
&(15) && \{\eta_{ab}, \eta_{cd}, \delta_{ab}, \delta_{cd}\}, && \text{where } |\{a,b,c,d\}| = 4 \text{ and } a < b \text{ and } c < d,\\
&(15) && \{\gamma_{ab}, \gamma_{cd}, \delta_{ab}, \delta_{cd}\}, && \text{where } |\{a,b,c,d\}| = 4 \text{ and } a < b \text{ and } c < d,\\
&(15) && \{\zeta, \varepsilon_a, \delta_{bc}, \delta_{de}\}, && \text{where } |\{a,b,c,d,e\}| = 5 \text{ and } b < c \text{ and } d < e.
\end{aligned}$$

To summarize, we have proven the following.

Proposition 8.24. *If a circular subgroup S lies within a maximal torus T^6 of $\widetilde{E}_6$, then S is reflected just if it is contained one of forty-five Weyl-conjugate maximal tori of* $\mathrm{Spin}(8)$ *subgroups lying in T^6.*

A. A proof of Koszul's theorem

Although the authority of Leray and Koszul is obviously sufficient for us to consider Proposition 7.3 established at this point, we do not know of a published proof of Theorem 2.6, so we supply one here.

Recall the claim.

Theorem 2.6 (Koszul, 1947)**.** *Let K be a semisimple Lie group and S a circular subgroup. Then the Poincaré polynomials (in the indeterminate t) of K/S and K are related by*

$$p(K/S) = p(K)\frac{1+t^2}{1+t^3}.$$

This is in fact a manifestation of a stronger fact, namely that $n = 1$ in the following theorem:

Theorem 2.5 (Leray, 1946)**.** *Let K be a compact, simply-connected, Lie group and S a closed, one-parameter subgroup [viz. a circle]. Then there exist an $n \in \mathbb{N}$, a primitive element $z_{2n+1} \in H^{2n+1}(K)$, and a nonzero $s \in H^2(K/S)$ such that*

$$H^*(K/S) \cong \frac{H^*(K)}{(z_{2n+1})} \otimes \frac{\mathbb{Q}[s]}{(s^{n+1})}$$

We will also indicate a proof of this result in Remark A.2. Before the proof of Theorem 2.6, we outline its general shape with a representative example. Let S be a circle contained in the first factor of the product group $G = \mathrm{U}(2) \times \mathrm{Sp}(1)$. The cohomology of G is the exterior algebra $H^*(G) = \Lambda[z_1, z_3, q_3]$, where $\deg z_1 = 1$ and $\deg z_3 = \deg q_3 = 3$, and the cohomology $H^*_S = H^*(BS) = \mathbb{Q}[s]$, where $\deg s = 2$.

The spectral sequence (E_r, d_r) associated to $G \to {}_SG \to BS$ is as follows. Its E_2 page is the tensor product $H^*_S \otimes H^*(G)$. Because the map $H^1(G) \longrightarrow H^1(S)$ is zero, the differential d_2 is zero, and d_3 is zero for lacunary reasons, so $E_4 = E_2$. The differential d_4 annihilates each of s, z_1, z_3 and takes $q_3 \longmapsto s^2$.



Because d_4 is an antiderivation, its kernel is the subalgebra $\mathbb{Q}[s] \otimes \Lambda[z_1, z_3]$ and its image the ideal (s^2) in that subalgebra. Elements mapped to a nonzero element by d_4 are marked as blue in the diagram and elements in the image in red; the vector space spanned by these elements vanishes in E_5. Thus $E_5 = \big(\mathbb{Q}[s]/(s^2)\big) \otimes \Lambda[z_1, z_3]$. For lacunary reasons, $E_5 = E_\infty$. In fact, $G/S = \mathrm{U}(2) \times \big(\mathrm{Sp}(1)/S\big) \approx \mathrm{U}(2) \times S^2$, so this tensor decomposition was not unexpected.

This example has all the features of the general case. If $H^1(G) \longrightarrow H^1(S)$ is not surjective, then one generator z in $H^3(G)$ is taken to a nonzero multiple of $s^2 \in H^4_S$. This nonzero differential annihilates all multiples of z and of s^2 in later pages, and $E_5 = E_\infty$. If $H^1(G) \neq 0$, the subalgebra it generates is unaffected in the process, and can be split off before running the spectral sequence; the factoring out of this subalgebra is the algebraic analogue of the product decomposition Proposition 6.1 of G/S.

To prove Theorem 2.6, we will, using a map between spectral sequences, show the differential d_4 in the spectral sequence of the bundle $K \longrightarrow K_S \longrightarrow BS$ is a composition $H^3(K) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^4_K \longrightarrow H^4_S$. If we know this second map is nonzero, then, the spectral sequence will behave as in our example, so we first prove this ancillary fact.

Lemma A.1. *The image of $H^*_K \longrightarrow H^*_S \cong \mathbb{Q}[s]$ does not contain $s \in H^2_S$, and does contain $s^2 \in H^4_S$.*

Proof. For a semisimple Lie group K, the rational cohomology groups $H^1(K) = H^2(K) = 0$. That $H^2(K) = 0$ then follows from Hopf's theorem [Hop41, Satz I, p. 23] that the rational cohomology of a Lie group $H^*(K)$ is an exterior algebra on odd-degree generators, since any element of $H^2(K)$ would be have to be generated by elements of $H^1(K)$. From the spectral sequence of the universal bundle $K \to EK \to BK$ it follows then that $H^2_K \cong H^1(K) = 0$, that $H^3_K \cong H^2(K) = 0$, and that $\tilde{d}_4 \colon H^3(K) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^4_K$.[21]

Let T be the maximal torus of K. Then it is well known (the Chevalley restriction theorem conjugated by Chern–Weil isomorphisms) that the image of $H^*_K \longrightarrow H^*_T$ is the invariant subring

[21] The original spectral sequence calculation for $K \to EK \to BK$ is Théorème 13.1 in Borel's thesis [Bor53].

$(H_T^*)^W$.[22] Write $\mathfrak{t}^*$ for the dual to the Lie algebra of T and $S(\mathfrak{t}^*)$ for the symmetric algebra, where nonzero elements of $\mathfrak{t}^*$ are assigned degree 2. The Chern–Weil homomorphism [KN69, Theorem 2.4] sends $S(\mathfrak{t}^*)$ isomorphically onto H_T^*. Then elements of $H_K^4 \cong S^2(\mathfrak{t}^*)^W$ are W-invariant quadratic forms on $\mathfrak{t}$. There exist negative definite such [BtD85, Prop. V.(5.13), p. 214]: namely, let $B(-,-)\colon \mathfrak{k}\times\mathfrak{k} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the Killing form of K, and restrict to a quadratic form Q on $\mathfrak{t}$ by precomposing with the inclusion

$$\mathfrak{t} \xrightarrow{\text{diag}} \mathfrak{t}\times\mathfrak{t} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{k}\times\mathfrak{k}.$$

Set $\operatorname{rk} K = n$ and $\vec{t} = (t_1, \dots, t_n)$. We can then write $Q \in S^2(\mathfrak{t}^*)^W \cong H_K^4$ as a polynomial $q(\vec{t}) \in \mathbb{Q}[\vec{t}] = H_T^*$ in the generators $t_j \in H_T^2$. We claim the image of $q(\vec{t})$ in $H_S^4 = \mathbb{Q}\cdot s^2$ is nonzero.

The map $H_T^* \longrightarrow H_S^*$ can be understood through transgression. By functoriality (or else taking $ES = ET$ and representing $BS \longrightarrow BT$ as the "further quotient" map $ET/S \twoheadrightarrow ET/T$), we have a bundle map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S & \xrightarrow{i} & T \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ ES & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & ET \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ BS & \xrightarrow{Bi} & BT, \end{array}$$

which induces a map [McC01, Theorem 5.2, p. 135] between the spectral sequences of the bundles. Write (E_r, d_r) for the spectral sequence of $S \to ES \to BS$ and $(\widetilde{E}_r, \tilde{d}_r)$ for that of $T \to ET \to BT$. The spectral sequence map is a sequence $\left(\psi_r\colon (\widetilde{E}_r, \tilde{d}_r) \longrightarrow (E_r, d_r)\right)$ of chain maps of differential graded algebras such that each ψ_r for $r \geq 3$ is induced by ψ_{r-1}. Because the coefficients are rational, we have identifications $E_2^{p,q} = H_S^p \otimes H^q(S)$ and $\widetilde{E}_2^{p,q} = H_T^p \otimes H^q(T)$. With these identifications, $\psi_2 = (Bi)^* \otimes i^*$, where $i^*\colon H^*(T) \longrightarrow H^*(S)$ and $(Bi)^*\colon H_T^* \longrightarrow H_S^*$ are induced by $i\colon S \hookrightarrow T$, and the differentials $d_2\colon E_2^{0,1} \longrightarrow E_2^{2,0}$ and $\tilde{d}_2\colon \widetilde{E}_2^{0,1} \longrightarrow \widetilde{E}_2^{2,0}$ become, respectively, maps $H^1(S) \longrightarrow H_S^2$ and $H^1(T) \longrightarrow H_T^2$. As $ET \simeq ES \simeq \mathrm{pt}$, the classes in the second pages given by these cohomology groups must vanish in later pages, so this d_2 and $\tilde{d}_2$ are vector space isomorphisms, and since ψ_2 is a chain map, we have the commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^1(S) & \xleftarrow{i^*} & H^1(T) \\ {\scriptstyle d_2}\downarrow{\scriptstyle\sim} & & {\scriptstyle \tilde{d}_2}\downarrow{\scriptstyle\sim} \\ H_S^2 & \xleftarrow{(Bi)^*} & H_T^2. \end{array}$$

Since $H^1(T)$ generates $H^*(T)$ as an algebra and likewise H_T^2 generates H_T^*, the restriction of the homomorphism $(Bi)^*$ to H_T^2 determines it uniquely, and from the commutativity of the square, this map is determined by $i^*\colon H^1(T) \longrightarrow H^1(S)$.

To determine i^*, identify S with the complex unit circle $S^1 < \mathbb{C}^\times$ and T with $(S^1)^n$, so that $i\colon S \hookrightarrow T$ becomes

$$\begin{aligned} i\colon S^1 &\longrightarrow (S^1)^n, \\ z &\longmapsto (z^{a_1}, \dots, z^{a_n}), \end{aligned}$$

where the exponent vector $\vec{a} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ is a list of integers with greatest common divisor 1 (so that the map is injective).[23]

[22] See, e.g., the geometric approach of Dwyer and Wilkerson [DW98, Theorem 5.14].

[23] This vector $\vec{a}$, though only well-defined up to the choice of identifications $S \cong S^1$ and $T \cong (S^1)^n$, will suffice to find the image of $H_T^4 \longrightarrow H_S^4$.

If $x_j \in H_1(T)$ is the fundamental class of the j^{th} factor circle and $[S] \in H_1(S)$ the fundamental class, we have $i_*[S] = \sum a_j x_j$. Let (x_j^*) be the dual basis for $H^1(T)$ and $[S]^* \in H^1(S)$ the cohomological fundamental class. Then the dual map $i^*\colon H^1(T) \twoheadrightarrow H^1(S)$ in cohomology takes $x_j^* \longmapsto a_j[S]^*$, because

$$(i^*x_j^*)[S] = x_j^*\big(i_*[S]\big) = x_j^*\big(\sum a_\ell x_\ell\big) = a_j.$$

Put another way, the matrix of the dual map i^* is the transpose of the matrix of i_*.

Write $s = s_2 = d_2[S]^* \in H_S^2$ and $t_j = d_2 x_j^* \in H_T^2$ so that $H_S^* = \mathbb{Q}[s]$ and $H_T^* = \mathbb{Q}[t_1, \dots, t_n]$. Then, remembering from the square above that $(Bi)^* = d_2 i^* \tilde{d}_2^{-1}$ on H_T^2, we see

$$(Bi)^*(t_j) = (d_2 \circ i^*)\tilde{d}_2^{-1} t_j = d_2(i^* x_j^*) = d_2\big(a_j[S]^*\big) = a_j d_2[S]^* = a_j s.$$

As $(Bi)^*$ is an algebra homomorphism, it follows that the homogeneous polynomial $q(\vec{t}) \in H_T^4$ will be taken under $(Bi)^*$ to $q(\vec{a})s^2 \in H_S^4$.

Because q is negative definite, so long as $(\vec{a}) \neq \vec{0}$ we have $q(\vec{a}) < 0$, so $s^2 \in \operatorname{im}\big(H_K^4 \hookrightarrow H_T^4 \to H_S^4\big)$. □

Because $\tilde{d}_4\colon H^3(K) \longrightarrow H_K^4$ is an isomorphism, it follows as well from Lemma A.1 that $H^3(K) \neq 0$ for K a nonabelian Lie group.

Proof of Proposition 2.7. Consider the bundle map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K & = & K \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ K_S & \longrightarrow & EK \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ BS & \longrightarrow & BK \end{array}$$

from the Borel fibration of the action of S on K to the universal principal K-bundle.[24] Write (E_r, d_r) for the spectral sequence of the former, and $(\widetilde{E}_r, \tilde{d}_r)$ for that of the latter. The bundle map induces a homomorphism $\big(\psi_r\colon (\widetilde{E}_r, \tilde{d}_r) \longrightarrow (E_r, d_r)\big)$ of spectral sequences, meaning $d_r \circ \psi_r = \psi_r \circ \tilde{d}_r$.

Because $E_2^{\bullet,\bullet} = E_2^{\bullet,0} \otimes E_2^{0,\bullet} \cong H_S^* \otimes H^*(K)$, the antiderivation d_2 is completely determined by its restriction to the leftmost column $H^*(K)$. Recall that $H^1(K) = H^2(K) = 0$ since K is semisimple. Since all nonzero components of $\tilde{d}_2$ arise as consequences of the fact that it is a differential restricting to an edge isomorphism $H^1(K) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_K^2$, it follows $\tilde{d}_2 = 0$. Similarly, $\tilde{d}_3 = 0$. From the relation $d_r \circ \psi_r = \psi_r \circ \tilde{d}_r$, it follows that $d_2 = d_3 = 0$ as well. Thus $E_4 = E_2$.

Now we consider d_4. Since $E_4 = H_S^* \otimes H^*(K)$ and $\widetilde{E}_4 = H_K^* \otimes H^*(K)$, the map ψ_4 restricted to the bottom row $\widetilde{E}_4^{\bullet,0}$ is still $(Bi)^*\colon H_K^* \longrightarrow H_S^*$. Starting in the leftmost columns $E_4^{0,\bullet} \cong H^*(K) \cong \widetilde{E}_4^{0,\bullet}$, we have the system of maps

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^3(K) & = & H^3(K) \\ {\scriptstyle d_4}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \tilde{d}_4}\sim \\ H_S^4 & \xleftarrow[(Bi)^*]{} & H_K^4. \end{array}$$

The map $\tilde{d}_4\colon H^3(K) \longrightarrow H_K^4$ is an isomorphism because these groups must vanish at this page or remain forever, and we know $H^3(EK) = 0 = H^4(EK)$, so they must not survive to $\widetilde{E}_4$. From

[24] This map must exist because the Borel fibration is a principal K-bundle, but we can be more specific: the map on total spaces is $[k, e]_S \longmapsto ke$, and it induces $Se \longmapsto Ke$ on the base spaces.

Lemma A.1, we know the bottom map $(Bi)^*$ is surjective, so $d_4\colon H^3(K) \longrightarrow H^4_S$ must be as well. Because the spectral sequence is first-quadrant, d_4 annihilates $H^{\leq 2}(K)$: it sends it to $E_4^{4,<0} = 0$. Because $\tilde{d}_4$ annihilates generators of $H^*(K)$ of degree ≥ 4 and we have the relation $d_4 \circ \psi_4 = \psi_4 \circ \tilde{d}_4$, we see d_4 annihilates generators of $H^*(K)$ of degree ≥ 4 as well. The restriction $d_4 \upharpoonright H^3(K)$ is a surjection onto a one-dimensional space, so the kernel of $d_4 \upharpoonright H^3(K)$ is of codimension 1; call this kernel $\widehat{P}^3$. If we write $\widehat{P}^{\neq 3}$ for the subspace of $H^*(K)$ made up of primitive elements of degree $\neq 3$, and $\widehat{P} := \widehat{P}^3 \oplus \widehat{P}^{\neq 3}$, then the kernel of d_4 on $H^*(K) = E_4^{0,\bullet}$ is the exterior subalgebra $\Lambda\widehat{P} \cong H^*(K)/(z_3)$ of $H^*(K)$. Because $d_4 s = 0$ and d_4 is an antiderivation, it follows

$$\ker d_4 = \Lambda[\widehat{P}] \otimes \mathbb{Q}[s].$$

If $z \in \Lambda[\widehat{P}]$ and $n \geq 0$, then as d_4 is an antiderivation, $d_4(s^n z_3 z) = s^{n+2} z$, so it follows that $\operatorname{im} d_4 = \Lambda[\widehat{P}] \otimes s^2\mathbb{Q}[s]$, and

$$E_5 = \frac{\ker d_4}{\operatorname{im} d_4} \cong \Lambda[\widehat{P}] \otimes \frac{\mathbb{Q}[s]}{(s^2)}.$$

As the nonzero entries of this page lie in the columns $p \in \{0,2\}$ and the bidegree of d_r is $(1-r, r)$, all differentials d_r for $r \geq 5$ must be zero. Thus $E_\infty = E_5 = \Lambda[\widehat{P}] \otimes \mathbb{Q}[s]/(s^2)$ as a $\mathbb{Q}[s]/(s^2)$-module. Since the spectral sequence converges, it follows $\operatorname{gr} H^*(K/S) \cong E_\infty$. The associated graded algebra construction preserves vector space dimension, so it follows the Poincaré polynomial $p(K/S)$ is as claimed. □

Remark A.2. To achieve the stronger result Theorem 2.5, one can use the Cartan model for the equivariant cohomology $H^*_S(K) \cong H^*(K/S)$, which collapses the spectral sequence calculation in our proof of Theorem 2.6 into the E_2 page and lets all differentials d_r occur there simultaneously. Then it is a theorem of Cartan [Car, Théorème V, p. 68] that the associated graded algebra construction here actually yields an algebra isomorphism $H^*(K/S) \cong E_\infty$.

B. The GAP verification of Proposition 8.15

```
# Supply a standard system of simple roots for E_6.
E6_simple_roots := [
 [1,-1,-1,-1,-1,1,1,1]/2,
-[1,1,0,0,0,0,0,0],
 [1,-1,0,0,0,0,0,0],
 [0,1,-1,0,0,0,0,0],
 [0,0,1,-1,0,0,0,0],
 [0,0,0,1,-1,0,0,0]
];;

# Simple reflections generate the Weyl group of E_6. Check the Weyl group is the right size.
simple_refl := List(E6_simple_roots,ReflectionMat);; W := Group(simple_refl); Size(W);

# Find its conjugacy classes. How big are they?
conj_raw := ConjugacyClasses(W);; List(conj_raw,x->Size(x));

# There are no elements of order 16.
Filtered(conj_raw,c->Order(Representative(c))=16);

# We find all conjugacy classes of elements of orders 2, 4, and 8.
```

```
conj_cl248 := [];;
for expon in [1..3] do
        conj_cl248[expon]:= Filtered(conj_raw,c->Order(Representative(c))=2^expon);;
od;

# How big are these conjugacy classes of elements of orders 2, 4, and 8?
List(conj_cl248,x->List(x,y->Size(y)));

# There are four conjugacy classes of involutions.
# We know these are all products of four or fewer orthogonal root reflections.

# This function finds the (-1)-eigenspace of a matrix.
reflected_space := function(matrix)
        local e;
        for e in Eigenspaces(Rationals,matrix) do
                if matrix*Representative(e) = -Representative(e) then
                        return e;
                fi;
        od;
        return [];
end;;

# This function finds a reflected space of some element in a conjugacy class.
refl_sp_of_rep := class->reflected_space(Representative(class));;

# This function orders a set by its function values.
ord := fcn->(set->Concatenation(List(Set(set,fcn),x->Filtered(set,v->fcn(v)=x))));

# We reorder the conjugacy classes of involutions so that the fourth one is the
# one with the highest-dimensional reflected spaces.
conj_cl248[1] :=
ord(x->Dimension(refl_sp_of_rep(x)))(conj_cl248[1]);;

# The largest (-1)-eigenspaces of involutions have dimension 4.
# We know these contain all (-1)-eigenspaces of other involutions.
# We generate all these (45) four-dimensional (-1)-eigenspaces.
invol_refl_spaces := List(conj_cl248[1][4],x->reflected_space(x));;

# We generate one reflecting space for each conjugacy class of elements of
# of orders 2, 4, and 8.
rep248_refl_spaces := Concatenation(List(conj_cl248,y->List(y,x->refl_sp_of_rep(x))));;

# This function checks if a vector space is contained in such an eigenspace.
subset_invol_refl_space := function(vector_space)
        local V, answer;
        answer := "No.";
        for V in invol_refl_spaces do
```

```
                if IsSubset(V,vector_space) then
                                answer := "Yes.";
                fi;
        od;
        return answer;
end;;

# We run through representatives of conjugacy classes of elements of orders 4 and 8
# and check whether their (-1)-eigenspaces are contained in a four-dimensional one.
for class in [5..Size(rep248_refl_spaces)] do;
        Print("Class ");
        Print(class);
        Print(": ");
        Print(subset_invol_refl_space(rep248_refl_spaces[class]));
        Print("\n");
od;

# For every class, a "Yes" shows up, demonstrating the four-dimensional (-1)-eigenspaces
# of involutions in fact contain all (-1)-eigenspaces.
```

References

[AB84] Michael F. Atiyah and Raoul Bott, *The moment map and equivariant cohomology*, Topology **23** (1984), no. 1, 1–28.

[BBF+60] Armand Borel, Glen Bredon, Edwin E. Floyd, Deane Montgomery, and Richard Palais, *Seminar on transformation groups*, Ann. of Math. Stud., no. 46, Princeton University Press, 1960.

[Bor53] Armand Borel, *Sur la cohomologie des espaces fibrés principaux et des espaces homogènes de groupes de Lie compacts*, Ann. of Math. (2) **57** (1953), no. 1, 115–207.

[Bor98] ———, *Jean Leray and algebraic topology*, Jean Leray, Selected Papers: Oeuvres Scientifiques (Armand Borel, ed.), vol. 1, Springer and Soc. Math. France, 1998, pp. 1–21.

[Bou68] Nicolas Bourbaki, *Groupes et algèbres de Lie, chap. IV–VI*, Hermann, Paris (1968).

[Bri98] Michel Brion, *Equivariant cohomology and equivariant intersection theory*, Representation theories and algebraic geometry, Springer, 1998, pp. 1–37.

[BtD85] Theodor Bröcker and Tammo tom Dieck, *Representations of compact Lie groups*, Grad. Texts in Math., vol. 98, Springer, 1985.

[BV82] Nicole Berline and Michèle Vergne, *Classes caractéristiques équivariantes. Formule de localisation en cohomologie équivariante*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **295** (1982), 539–541.

[Car] Henri Cartan, *La transgression dans un groupe de Lie et dans un espace fibré principal*, Colloque de Topologie, Bruxelles 1950, pp. 57–71.

[Car72] Roger W. Carter, *Conjugacy classes in the Weyl group*, Compositio Mathematica **25** (1972), no. 1, 1–59.

[CCN+85] John Horton Conway, Robert Turner Curtis, Simon Phillips Norton, Richard A. Parker, and Robert Arnott Wilson, *Atlas of finite groups*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1985.

[DW98] William Gerard Dwyer and Clarence Wendell Wilkerson, Jr., *The elementary geometric structure of compact Lie groups*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **30** (1998), no. 4, 337–364.

[DW01] ———, *Centers and Coxeter elements*, Contemp. Math. **271** (2001), 53–76.

[FP08] Matthias Franz and Volker Puppe, *Freeness of equivariant cohomology and mutants of compactified representations*, Toric Topology (Osaka, 2006) (Providence, RI) (Megumi Harada et al., ed.), Contemp. Math., vol. 460, Amer. Math. Soc., 2008, pp. 87–98.

[GGK02] Viktor L. Ginzburg, Victor Guillemin, and Yael Karshon, *Moment maps, cobordisms, and Hamiltonian group actions*, Math. Surveys Monogr., vol. 98, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2002.

[GHV76] Werner H. Greub, Stephen Halperin, and Ray Vanstone, *Connections, curvature, and cohomology, vol. III: Cohomology of principal bundles and homogeneous spaces*, Academic Press, 1976.

[GKM98] Mark Goresky, Robert Kottwitz, and Robert MacPherson, *Equivariant cohomology, Koszul duality, and the localization theorem*, Invent. Math. **131** (1998), no. 1, 25–83.

[GN14] Oliver Goertsches and Sam Haghshenas Noshari, *On the equivariant cohomology of isotropy actions on generalized symmetric spaces*, http://arxiv.org/abs/1405.2655, May 2014.

[Goe12] Oliver Goertsches, *The equivariant cohomology of isotropy actions on symmetric spaces*, Doc. Math. **17** (2012), 79–94.

[Hat02] Allen Hatcher, *Algebraic topology*, Cambridge University Press, 2002.

[Hop41] Heinz Hopf, *Über eie Topologie der Gruppen-Mannigfaltigkeiten und ihre Verallgemeinerungen*, Ann. of Math. **42** (1941), no. 1, pp. 22–52 (German).

[JK95] Lisa C. Jeffrey and Frances C. Kirwan, *Localization for nonabelian group actions*, Topology **34** (1995), no. 2, 291–327.

[KN69] Shoshichi Kobayashi and Katsumi Nomizu, *Foundations of differential geometry*, vol. 2, Interscience Publishers, New York, 1969.

[Kos47] Jean-Louis Koszul, *Sur l'homologie des espaces homogènes*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **225** (1947), 477–479.

[Ler46] Jean Leray, *Structure de l'anneau d'homologie d'une représentation*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **222** (1946), 1419–1422.

[McC01] John McCleary, *A user's guide to spectral sequences*, Cambridge Stud. Adv. Math., vol. 58, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2001.

[Mil56] John Milnor, *Construction of universal bundles, II*, Ann. of Math. **63** (1956), no. 3, 430–436.

[Mil00] Haynes Miller, *Leray in Oflag XVIIA: the origins of sheaf theory, sheaf cohomology, and spectral sequences*, Gazette des mathématiciens (2000), no. 84 suppl., 17–34.

[MT00] Mamoru Mimura and Hiroshi Toda, *Topology of Lie groups, I and II*, Transl. Math. Monogr., vol. 91, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2000.

[Sam41] Hans Samelson, *Beitrage zur Topologie der Gruppen-Mannigfaltigkeiten*, Ann. of Math. **42** (1941), no. 1, 1091–1137.

[Shi96] Hiroo Shiga, *Equivariant de Rham cohomology of homogeneous spaces*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **106** (1996), no. 2, 173–183.

[Smi67] Larry Smith, *Homological algebra and the Eilenberg–Moore spectral sequence*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. (1967), 58–93.

[ST95] Hiroo Shiga and Hideo Takahashi, *Remarks on equivariant cohomology of homogeneous spaces*, Technical report 17, Technological University of Nagaoka, May 1995.

[Wol67] Joseph Albert Wolf, *Spaces of constant curvature*, McGraw–Hill, New York, 1967.